Dear TAHPERD Journal Reviewer:

Thank you for assisting in the manuscript review process. You and two other readers have been selected to critique the attached manuscript. Please return it with this form no later than . Prompt review is essential. Feel free to make constructive, helpful comments and suggestions directly on the manuscript.

ArticleTitle:_

Criteria/Review Form

Please circle your rating below. Share explanations and comments in section provided or on manuscript.

Please consider (and report on) the following specific points when you conduct your review:

- 1. **Design and Organization**: Does the manuscript reflect a logical pattern of thought? For a research manuscript, is the research design correct and appropriate? Is the statistical analysis appropriate and correctly applied? Are the conclusions supported by the results of the study? Has it adequately surveyed and cited the existing related literature?
- 2. Scholarly Contribution: Does the manuscript offer new ideas and/or information? Is it accurate? Is it scholarly? Does it appear to be an original approach or technique? Does it make a valuable contribution to the body of knowledge in our disciplines? Does it meet its intended purpose?
- 3. **Relevance**: Does the article belong in <u>this journal</u>? Will it be meaningful and useful to readers?
- 4. Writing Style and Grammar: <u>Has APA (5th edition) format been followed consistently</u>? Is the manuscript too long or too short? Is it grammatically correct? Does it reflect the use of quality writing skills? Does the author express his/her ideas clearly?
- 5. **Tables and Charts**: Are tables and charts set up correctly? Are they needed? Are additional charts, figures, or tables required for clarity?

A. Is the content of the article appropriate for the intended audience and in keeping with the concerns of the TAHPERD audience?

1 Not appropriate	2	3	4 Appropriate
B. Is the artic	cle topic time	ely?	
1 Dated	2	3	4 Cutting Edge
C. Is there ad	lequate and a	appropriate (documentation (APA)?

1	2	3	4
Poor			Excellent

1 Very Little	2	3	4 Great
E. Is the manusc	ript well	l written?	
1 Weak	2	3	4 Strong
F. Is there a clea	r and see	quential orga	nization to the article?
1 Unclear, Disorganized	2	3	4 Clear, Well Organized
G. Is the article i	nteresti	ng? (i.e., Are t	ne ideas presented in a way that engage
1 Routine	2	3	4 Exciting
Comments:			
		rticle be accept	d for publication in <i>TAHPERD Journal</i> ? (
 Do you recommend		urticle be accept	d for publication in <i>TAHPERD Journal</i> ? (Major revisions & Resubmit
Do you recommend your recommendation	on.	-	
Do you recommend your recommendation Yes	on.	-	Major revisions & Resubmit
Do you recommend your recommendation Yes Yes, with re Article Title:	on. evisions		Major revisions & Resubmit
Do you recommend your recommendatio Yes Yes, with re Article Title: Reviewer:	on. evisions		Major revisions & Resubmit No
Do you recommend your recommendation Yes Yes, with re Article Title: Reviewer:	on. evisions		Major revisions & Resubmit No