Guiding South Africa's Malaria Elimination Interventions using Molecular Epidemiology Jaishree Raman^{1,2,3}, Maxwell Mabona¹, Blazenka Letinic¹, Hazel Gwarinda¹, Ednah Baloyi⁴, Bridget Shandukani⁴, Gillian Malatjie⁵, Gerdalize Kok⁵, Eric Raswiswi⁶, Bryan Greenhouse^{7,8}, Jennifer Smith⁷, Andrés Aranda-Díaz^{7,8} P2-S4

¹National Institute for Communicable Diseases, South Africa, ²Institute for Sustainable Malaria Control, University of Pretoria, ³Wits Research Institute for Malaria, University of the Witwatersrand, ⁴South African National Malaria Control Program, ⁵Mpumalanga Malaria Control Program, ⁶KwaZulu-Natal Malaria Control Program, ⁷Malaria Elimination Initiative, UCSF, ⁸EPPIcenter Research Program, UCSF

Malaria in South Africa



South Africa is aiming to eliminate malaria by 2023

Three endemic provinces: **Limpopo:** Moderate transmission, >60% local cases Mpumalanga (MPN): Lowmoderate transmissio>70% imported KwaZulu-Natal (KZN): Low transmission >85% imported

New interventions needed to reduce residual transmission

Methods

- Dried blood spots and/or rapid diagnostic tests are routinely collected through passive and active case detection surveillance activities.
- Patient metadata are collected for every sample
- Samples from MPN and KZN collected between 2022 and 2024 were sequenced using the targeted amplicon sequencing panel MAD⁴HatTeR¹ at the NICD Sequencing Core Facility



Intra-host and population diversity, including allele frequencies, estimated with MOIRE². Identity-by-descent (IBD) for infection pairs estimated using Dcifer³.

Low risk

Moderate risk

1: Aranda-Diaz, et al. bioRxiv (2024) doi: 10.1101/2024.08.22.609145 2: Murphy and Greenhouse. bioRxiv (2024) doi: 10.1101/2023.10.03.560769 3: Gerlovina et al. Genetics (2022) doi: 10.1093/genetics/iyac126

Results

Sequenced samples

Infection clusters highlight the role of **local transmission and co-importation**



445 samples with parasite concentrations above 100 parasite/µl blood were sequenced

Molecular markers of antimalarial drug resistance

- mdr2 mdr1 **Y184F I492V** And 1.00 **MPN** KZN
- No validated or candidate k13 markers • associated with artemisinin resistance
- **All** parasites carry molecular markers \bullet





associated with tolerance to lumefantrine

- All parasites carry molecular markers associated with **susceptibility to** chloroquine
 - All parasites carry markers associated with sulfadoxinepyrimethamine (SP) resistance



- **27%** (121/445) of samples belonged in a **cluster of highly related** infections (IBD >0.125).
- Most sequenced **local cases belonged in a cluster**. •
- 18% (45/254) of sequenced imported cases belonged in a cluster. Only 10 of those would be classified as co-imported epidemiologically.

Conclusions

- The lack of mutations associated with artemisinin or lumefantrine resistance suggests South Africa's first-line treatment, artemether-lumefantrine, is still effective
- The absence of malaria parasites with hrp2/3 deletions (data not shown), suggests hrp2-based falciparum-specific rapid diagnostic tests are still effective in South Africa
- However, the spread of drug and diagnostic-resistant parasites across East and Central Africa is a warning sign and demands rigorous surveillance
- Preliminary data suggests importation of malaria cases is contributing to sustained local transmission. However, more data (coverage of sequenced samples and epidemiological metadata) from South Africa and neighbouring countries is needed to confirm this
- Interventions at the border to prevent malaria importation should be prioritized.
- This highlights the need for regional collaboration and rapid sharing of data to enable prompt preventative and containment responses.

Special thanks to the South African National and Provincial Malaria Control Programs, HPP surveillance teams, staff of ARMMOR, and the sequencing core facility based at the NICD

