# The contribution of school meals to the diet quality of children in Canada: A hierarchical analysis of complex dietary data

<u>Nicholas Grubic<sup>1</sup>, Julia Dabravolskaj<sup>2</sup>, Paul J. Veugelers<sup>3</sup>, Katerina Maximova<sup>2,1</sup></u>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Epidemiology, Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada <sup>2</sup>MAP Centre for Urban Health Solutions, Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada <sup>3</sup>School of Public Health, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

# Dalla Lana

School of Public Health



### Introduction

- A healthy diet is essential to children's growth and development.
- School food programs (SFPs) have been shown to improve diet quality and reduce diet-related inequities, although evidence from Canada is scarce.
- Canada is the only G7 country without a national SFP but is committed to developing a national SFP.
- Policymakers need evidence on existing SFPs for planning.

### **Objective**

### **Results (cont'd)**

- Students consumed less than half of the recommended servings of vegetables & fruit; other food groups met national standards.
- 11% of students' total caloric intake came from free sugars.
- Average DQI score was 53 out of 100.
- 5,362 meals (69%) were consumed during school hours; only 4% were sourced from schools.
- Meals consumed during school hours (breakfast to afternoon)

To examine the contribution of meals/snacks provided by schools vs. brought from home to the diet quality of Canadian children.

### Methods

- Study Design: Cross-sectional
- Setting: 26 schools from disadvantaged communities in Alberta, Canada which have implemented a comprehensive Health Promoting Intervention called APPLE Schools.
- **Sample:** 1,474 grade 4-6 students (aged 9-12 years, 49% girls)
- **Instruments:** 24-hour diet recall plus in-school survey
- Exposures:
  - Meal type: breakfast, morning snack, lunch, afternoon snack, dinner, evening snack
  - Meal source:  $\bigcirc$



### snack) constituted 57-68% of daily intake for each food group.

Figure 1. Contribution (in relative terms) of meals to the daily intake of each food group.



### Table 1. Associations between Meal Source and Diet-related Outcomes.

	Meal source (β [95% confidence interval])					
	Home	School	Other			
Vegetables & fruit*	Ref.	-14 (-29 to 4)	7 (-4 to 18)			
Grain products*	Ref.	-15 (-25 to -4)	-2 (-9 to 5)			
Milk & alternatives*	Ref.	24 (5 to 48)	15 (4 to 27)			
Meat & alternatives*	Ref.	14 (-13 to 50)	8 (-6 to 23)			
Free sugars (% total energy intake)*	Ref.	-39 (-52 to -23)	1 (-12 to 16)			
Sodium (mg/100 kcal)*	Ref.	-2 (-18 to 17)	-14 (-22 to -5)			
Total energy intake (kcal)*	Ref.	-11 (-27 to 8)	14 (2 to 28)			
DQI	Ref.	-1 (-3 to -0.02)	-1 (-2 to -0.3)			

**Bold** indicates statistical significance (p<0.05); Only includes meals consumed during school hours (breakfast, morning snack, lunch, afternoon snack); Adjusted for meal type, student- and school-level covariates; \*Coefficients back-transformed and can be interpreted as approximate expected percent increases (+) or decreases (-) in outcome, in comparison to the reference category (home).

### **Outcomes:**



**Food group servings** (vegetables & fruit, grain products, milk & alternatives, meat & alternatives)



Sodium (mg/100 kcal) Free sugars (g)



\*\*\* |||| **Diet Quality Index** (DQI)

## **Covariates:**

- **Student:** grade, gender, language, family affluence
- School: urbanicity, material and social deprivation indices

### **Statistical Analysis:**

- Two-level (meals nested within students) multivariable  $\bigcirc$ linear regression models used to evaluate the association of exposures with outcomes, while adjusted for all covariates.
- Models restricted to meals with non-zero values for each  $\bigcirc$ outcome, with logarithmic transformations applied where

Table 2. Associations between Meal Type and Diet-related Outcomes.

	Meal type (β)						
	Breakfast	Morning Snack	Lunch	Afternoon Snack	Dinner	Evening Snack	
Vegetables & fruit*	Ref.	3	-22	-10	-12	-17	
Grain products*	Ref.	-25	63	-25	58	-23	
Milk & alternatives*	Ref.	-6	17	1	23	11	
Meat & alternatives*	Ref.	-6	2	-8	12	-25	
Free sugars (% total energy intake)*	Ref.	-16	-19	-22	-30	-17	
Sodium (mg/100 kcal)*	Ref.	-58	18	-51	-19	-59	
Total energy intake (kcal)*	Ref.	-56	60	-62	56	-70	
DQI	Ref.	-4	3	-7	5	-7	

**Bold** indicates statistical significance (p<0.05); Includes all meals consumed during and outside school hours; Adjusted for all student- and school-level covariates; \*Coefficients back-transformed and can be interpreted as approximate expected percent increases (+) or decreases (-) in outcome, in comparison to the reference category (home).

## **Strengths and Limitations**

- Strengths: Web-based diet recall tool (reduced recall bias and social desirability bias).
- Limitations: Less than 5% of meals were provided by schools

# appropriate.

and model inferences may only be generalized to meals with non-zero outcomes (e.g., >0 servings of specific food group).

### Conclusions

- Children in Canada consume few meals provided by schools.
- School meals had lower overall diet quality but included more servings of milk & alternatives and had lower free sugar content, compared to meals sourced from home.
- Main meals had higher diet quality and more servings of grain products than snacks, although breakfast was characterized by higher consumption of free sugars.





