Advancing international collaborative research and promoting research integrity: An analysis of stakeholders' perspectives between Sweden and Japan

Statement of the Problem

- Internationalization in higher education & Internationalization in research Internationalization in righter education is internationalization in research
 Tesponsible Internationalization"
 International collaborations in a project between Sweden and Japan
 Collaborations (international, national, institutional, and individual levels)

Emerging challenges and opportunities in international collaborations

transdisciplinary perspectives (academic, professional, and others)

History

- MIRAI (2017-2019)

• 15 universities (7 Swedish & 8 Japanese)

• Joint Statement on "Strengthening of Japan-Sweden Science and

Four Thematic Expert Groups (TEGs)
 One Innovation and Entrepreneurship Advisory Group (IEAG)

Conceptual Framework

Thematic Expert Groups (TEGs) and

Innovation and one Entrepreneurship Advisory Group (IEAG)

- Aspects of institutional responsibilities on research integrity due to new technologies and collaborations (NASEM, 2017)

(b) climate assessment (d) RCR training and education

Technology Cooperation" signed in October 2018.

20 universities (11 Swedish & 9 Jananese)

- MIRAI 2.0 (2020-2023)

Primary dimensions of different national research systems in international rese

collaborations (Anderson, 2011) nization and funding of research (2) legal and normative environments

 To analyze and compare perspectives of the key stakeholders international perspectives (Sweden, Japan, and others) interdisciplinary perspectives (disciplinary and interdisciplinary)



Takehito Kamata, Ph.D., M.P.A.

Assistant Professor by Special Appointment Sophia University, Japan

Methods & Results

MIRAI Research and Innovation Week 2023 at Umeå University in Sweden







Tohoku University

Waseda University





Nagoya University
 Nagoya University
 Okinawa Institute of
 Science and Technology (OIST)
 Sophia University

- Participating universities in MIRAI (2024-2026)

- Sweden Japan_
 Umeâ University (coordinating univ.)
 Jönköpi University
 Hiroshima University

 Hiroshima University
- Karlstad University
 Linnaeus University
 Linköping University
 Luleå University of Technology Stockholm School of Economics
- University of Gothenburg Uppsala University
- Örebro University

- Qulitative Research Methodology (Semi-structureal interviews)
 Administrators and Researchers (Sweden and Japan)
 Semi-structural interviews (online or in-person)
 - 45 to 60 minutes (audio recording of interviews)
 - · Ethics Committee for Research on Human Subjects (Sophia University)
- Data Analysis and Results

 - Advanced institutional partnerships (administrative networks)
 Advanced institutional partnerships (administrative networks)
 Advising (study or research abroad destinations for students)
 Authorized decision-making capacity
 Institutional capacity (research environments, research support structure)

 - Communication challenges (institutional, national, international levels)
 Data (Regulations and rules; EU and Japan)
 Designated faculty representatives
 Research targets & Faculty representatives (in a disciplinary research)
 Top management engagement support (maintaining collaborations)

Limitations

- The participating length in MIRAI
- Perspectives of administrators and researchers Aspects of leadership and research support
- Input & Output (institutional investment & collaboration outcomes)
- External factors influence university partnerships and relations
- COVID-19 discontinued in-person engagement (to online engagement)



Key Findings & Discussion

- Findings at the National level
 - Incentives (Erasmus Mundus, Top Global University Project)
- Collaborations among domestic administrators and researchers
 National interests on collaborations (SASUF, SKERIC, RENKEI)
 Findings at the Institutional level
 Open recruitment (representing researchers) and incentives (funding)
- Intercultural communication (how to decide / what to discuss)
- Research integrity (academic and professional backgrounds)
- Discussion
 - Top down and Bottom-up approaches
 Funding agencies and foundations

Next Steps

- Findings relating to structure and functions of research systems Research Infrastructure

 - Research Operation & Management Research Policies
- Research Policies
 Common challenges in research integrity between Sweden and Japan
 Developing a course (research integrity) toward undergraduate students

Selected References

- melissa-anderson-nicholas-steneck.
 Bouter, L. (2020). What research institutions can do to foster research integrity. Science and Engineering Ethics, 26(4), 2363–2369.

- Boulet. L. (2021). Why research integrity actions of the control o

Interesting confid (1077/set 1980/021-00074-y.)
The National Board of Assessment of Research Misconduct (Namnden for pröming av oredighet i forskning), (2023), National Board for Assessment of Research Misconduct (NPCP) https://inced.nete/.
Winkerburg G, Dio, C., Tiglink L, 3. de 6 (Rigine, 5 (2021) Expanding research integrity: A cultural-practice perspective. Science and Engineering Ethics, 27, 10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-071-000911-z.

8th World Conference on Research Integrity Megaron Athens International Conference Centre June 2-5, 2024; Athens, Greece (Presented on June 4)



takehitokamata@sophia.ac.jp