



Retrieval of Aerosol Parameters from Hyperspectral Satellite Data

Lanlan Rao¹, Jian Xu¹, Dmitry Efremenko², Diego Loyola², Adrian Doicu²

¹National Space Science Center, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

²Remote Sensing Technology Institute, German Aerospace Center, Germany ESA UNCLASSIFIED – For ESA Official Use Only

💳 💶 📕 🛨 💳 💶 📕 🏥 💳 📲 📲 🗮 💳 🚛 🚳 🛌 📲 🖿 🖬 🖉



□ Impact of aerosols in atmosphere on

- Surface radiation and temperature
- **Clouds and precipitation**
- Boundary layer and air pollution *

□ Requires spatiotemporal information on

- Horizontal distribution of aerosols
- Vertical distribution of aerosols *

□ Detection of aerosols

Background

- **Ground-based** •
- Satellite- and airborne-based
- Model simulation



Radiative forcing of aerosols (source: Li et al., 2022)



Observation and simulation of aerosols(source: Chen et al., 2019)

Background



□ Hyperspectral satellite

- AAI Absorption characteristics
- **AOD** Concentration
- ALH Vertical information

Data

- Near-Infrared (O₂A band, ~760 nm)
- Visible (O₂B band, ~690 nm)
- **UV-Visible (O₂-O₂ bands, 340, 360, 380, 440, 477 nm)**
- □ Algorithm for new generation hyperspectral satellites
 - TROPOMI/S5P (Launched in October 2017)
 - OMS/FY-3F (Launched in August 2023)

Instrument	Spectral Range (nm)	Spatial resolution (km×km)	Swath (km)	Overpass time	Operational			
GOME	240-790	320 x 40	960	10:30	1995-2011			
SCIAMACHY	240-2400	30 x 215	1000	10:00	2002-2012			
OMI	270-500	13 x 24	2600	13:30	2004-present			
GOME-2	240-790	80 x 40	1920	9:30	2007-present			
OMPS-nadir	290-1000	10 x 10	2800	13:30	2011-present			
TROPOMI	270-495 710-775 2305-2385	7 x 3.5 7 x 28 (UV1) 7 x 7 (SWIR)	2600	13:30	2017-present			
EMI	240-710	13 x 48	2500	13:30	2018-present			
EMI2	240-710	13 x 24	2500	13:30	2022-present			
OMS-nadir	250-300 300-500	21 x 28 7 x 7	2900	10:30	August 2023 (Planned)			



atmospheric composition detection

■ 🖶 💳 🔚 📕 🗮 🔚 📕 📲 📲 📥 👰 🛌 📲 🛨 🔤 💳 💁 💁 > THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY

Method-Radiative Transfer Model



□ Acceleration algorithms:

Discrete ordinate method with matrix exponential

(Doicu and Trauttmann, 2009)

 False discrete ordinate, K-distribution, PCA, Forwardadjoint methods, etc.

Gas absorption

- O_2A Band: O_2
- ✤ O₂-O₂ Bands: O₂-O₂, O₃, NO₂, H₂O
- Surface properties
 - Lambertian surface
 - BRDF function
- Aerosol





Method-Radiative Transfer Model



Aerosol Layer





→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY

*

Method-Radiative Transfer Model



Aerosol Model

□ Micro-physical properties

- Aerosol components
- Shape
- Particle distribution
- Refractive index

Optical properites

- Absorption coefficient \overline{C}_{ext}
- Scattering coefficient \overline{C}_{sct}
- Expantion coefficient of pahse fucntion $\overline{\chi}_n$

Particle size distribution function of aerosol componets (Source: Hess et al., 1998)

Component	<i>r</i> ₀ , µm	σ	
Sea salt (accumulation mode)	0.209	2.03	
Sea salt (coarse mode)	1.75	2.03	
Desert dust (nucleation mode)	0.07	1.95	
Desert dust (accumulation mode)	0.39	2.0	
Desert dust (coarse mode)	1.9	2.15	
Water-insoluble aerosol	0.471	2.51	
Water-soluble aerosol	0.0212	2.24	
Soot aerosol	0.0118	2.0	



Lognormal function defines aerosol particle size distribution. (Source: Hess et al., 1998)

For an aerosol model containing n aerosol components, its optical property parameters are calculated using the optical properties of each component and their volume mixing ratios w_i (either fixed values or defined during retrieval).

optica properties of aerosol component *i* $\overline{C}_{ext}^{(i)}, \overline{C}_{sct}^{(i)}, \overline{\chi}_n^{(i)}, w_i$ external mixing optical properties of aerosol model $C_{\text{ext}}^{\text{aer}}, \omega_{\text{aer}}, \chi_n^{\text{aer}}$

━ ━ ■ ■ ₩ ₩ = = ₩ ■ ■ ₩ ₩ = ₩ = ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ = = = ∞ ∞ ∞ ∞ ₩ .



Physical retrieval algorithm



💳 📕 🚼 🧫 🔤 🚛 🚛 🚛 🚛 📕 🚛 📲 🚍 🛶 🏟 🔽 📲 🗮 🛨 👘 🖂 👘

Method-Aerosol Retrieval Algorithm



Neural Network Algorithms

Three physics-based NN retrieval algorithms (Rao et al., 2022b)

NN for Forward Operator

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} [\tau, H]^T \\ [\theta_0, \theta, \Delta \varphi, H_{\mathrm{s}}, A_{\mathrm{s}}]^T \end{bmatrix} \longmapsto \mathbf{y} = [I(\lambda_k)]_{k=1}^{N_{\lambda}}$$

NN for Inverse Operator with Radiances

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} [I(\lambda_{mk}^r) + \delta_{mk}]_{k=1}^{N_{m\lambda}} \\ [\theta_0, \theta, \Delta\varphi, H_s, A_s]^T \end{bmatrix} \longmapsto \mathbf{y} = [\tau, H]^T$$

NN for Inverse Operator with PCA

$$\widehat{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\delta} = \mathbf{U}_{M}^{T}(\mathbf{i}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\delta} - \left\langle \mathbf{i}_{\mathrm{m}} \right\rangle) = \widehat{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathrm{m}} + \widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}_{\mathrm{m}}$$





Results-Aerosol Retrieval in the Near-Infrared Band



Retriveal in O₂A band



LER comparison with OMI over snow/ice area (Loyola, Xu, et al., 2020)

Retrieval of Aerosol Properties

- **O**₂**A band (758-771 nm):**
- **AOD & ALH**
- **Cloud-free or low-cloud conditions**
- Aerosol layer configuration
 - Elevated layer
- Aerosol model
 - * MODABS (MODIS Dark Target Algorithm)
- □ Surface properties
 - Real-time geometric observation conditions for surface albedo products (GE_LER, geometry-dependent effective Lambertian equivalent reflectivity)



Physical retrieval in O₂A band

Retrieving aerosol properties in O₂A band using TROPOMI/S5P (Rao et al., 2021, 2022a) Comparison with Operational Products (provided by KNMI) (Saraha, 2020-06-06)





Neural Network Algorithms

□ Retrieval results using TROPOMI Data (California, 2017-12-12) (Rao et al., 2022b)





NN for Inverse Operator with PCAs of Radiances



NN for Forward Operator



Operational Product

Results-Aerosol Retrieval in the Near-Infrared Band



Neural Network Algorithms

Retrieval results using TROPOMI Data: Three NN algorithms vs. KNMI official product (2019 full year) (Rao et al., 2022b)





Neural Network Algorithms

TROPOMI layer height retrieval vs. CALIPSO layer height product (Full Year 2019) (Rao et al., 2022b)





Sensitivity Analysis (477 nm vs. O₂A band)



Retrieval around 477 nm:

 harder to separate contribution of AOD and ALH.
 more sensitive to the aerosol model when retrieving ALH.
 more challenging for nonabsorbing aerosols when retrieving ALH.
 more challenging for absorbing aerosols when retrieving AOD.



Sensitivity Analysis (477 nm vs. O₂A band)



1. Errors in AOD are smaller than errors in ALH;

2. Errors in AOD for NONABS are smaller than those for ABS;
3. Over dark surfaces, errors in ALH retrievals for ABS are smaller than those for MODABS. However, over surfaces with a surface albedo of 0.15, the degrees of freedom (DOF) for ALH for ABS are less than 1, and ALH is less than 4.5 km;

4. Erros are smaller and DOF are overally larger for retrieval in O_2A band.



Retrieval results using TROPOMI data (~477 nm)

TROPOMI offical product (O_2A)





Retrieval result (~477nm)



2020-6-6





+



2018-09-15



Retrieval of clouds (~477 nm), 2018-09-15

TROPOMI Official Product (O_2A)





0.6 albedo

Retrieval results $(O_2-O_2, \sim 477nm)$





Retrieval results using OMS data (2023-10-31)

Aerosol retrieval







Summary

- Developed aerosol physical retrieval algorithms
- Developed neural network algorithm
- **\square** Applied to O₂A and O₂-O₂ bands of TROPOMI and OMS

Outlook

Optimize retrieval algorithm using multi-band or multi-source satellite data
 Validate retrievals in O₂-O₂ band

💳 📕 👯 💳 🔚 📕 ╧═ 💳 📕 📕 💳 🐈 💳 🛶 🚳 🛏 📕 👫 🛨 🖬 💳 🔤 🛶 🚱 → 👘



Thanks!