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## Satellite Radar Interferometry for Monitoring Ice

 Sheet Motion: Application to an Antarctic Ice Stream $ل$
## When it all began ..



R. Goldstein, JPL ERS-1, ESA

1993

## ERS-1/2 tandem mission

## ESA flies ERS-1/2 in tandem (1-day) for 9 months.

Fart Recession of a Hest A Mini-Surge on the Ryder Glacier, Greenland,

Antarctlc Glaciar
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North and Northeast Greenland Ice Discharge from Satellite Radar Interferometry
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## RADARSAT-1 AMM-1, $2(1997,2000)$

## CSA flies the Antarctic Mapping Mission(s).

## Tributarles of West Antarctic Ice Streams Revealed by RADARSAT Interferometry
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Changes in the Velacity Structure of the Greenland lce Sheet


Changes in ice dynamics and mass balance of the Antarctic ice sheet By Eric Rignot*


## WMO’s Polar Space Task Group

Shifted from campaign style to continuous mapping at the continental scale
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Four decades of Antarctic Ice Sheet mass balance from 1979-2017
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Speckle tracking ~ $2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{yr}$
SAR phase ~ $20 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{yr}$, $5^{\circ}$
Still in "surface parallel flow"

Using multiple RADARSAT InSAR pairs to estimate a full three-dimensional solution for glacial ice movement
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Interferometric Estimation of Three-Dimensional Ice-Flow Using Ascending and Descending Passes Ian R. Joughin, Member, IEEE, Ronald Kwok, Member, IEEE, and Mark A. Fahnestock

$$
\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}-b_{\mathrm{S}}(t)=\vec{n}_{\mathrm{S}} \cdot \vec{v}
$$
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## Antarctic Grounding Zone

DInSAR reveals grounding lines.
Tidal migration reveals grounding zones. Data sources: S1, RADARSAT-2, CSK-2G


Automatic delineation of glacier grounding lines in differential interferometric synthetic-aperture radar data using deep learning
 https://nsidc.org/data/NSIDC-0778/

Thwaites Glacier: the factor $\times 2$
10-km seawater intrusions


## Enabling new science

Temporal and spatial scales of physical processes driving ice sheet mass balance and sea level rise (Stommel diagram)

- Early missions: annual/1 km.
- NISAR/S1: weekly/30 m.
- Future: sub-daily/higher res ( 5 m ) for fast processes: calving, rifting, fracturing, tidal dynamics, which are vectors of rapid change.



A decade of variability on Jakobshavn Isbræ: ocean temperatures pace speed through influence on mélange rigidity




## Future of InSAR for Cryosphere Applications

- Grounding lines: S1/Harmony, NISAR/SDC (CSK-2G, Iceye).
- 3D Ice velocity: S1/Harmony, NISAR, (ROSE-L, SAOCOM-1/2, PALSAR-3, CSK-2G, Iceye).
- Elevation change (ice melt): TDX, Harmony.
- Fast processes (Ice fracturing, tidal dynamics)



## Future of InSAR for Cryosphere Applications

Permafrost: Problem is decorrelation, then mixture of processes: dynamic thawing/freezing, temperature, soil type, land cover, precipitation. Goal is ALT and permafrost layer thickness (Strozzi et al., 2018).
Progress will be significant w. L-band radars and w. radar sounders (DEBRIS, STRATUS)


## Future of InSAR for Cryosphere Applications $\quad$.

Sea ice: Horizontal motion complete with feature tracking but does not include leads and fractures. 3D motion is complicated by block rotation, tilting, fracture. Requires fast (ATI) repeat pass (Damman et al., 2019)
Snow Water Equivalent: density + depth + electric path length, remains difficult.


## Conclusions

Future requires interferometric-phase systems, high coherence, fast repeat, high resolution to resolve physical processes that matter most to ice dynamics: grounding line dynamics, 3D flow, fracturing, ice melting.

Need data from multiple platforms to achieve observation objectives (e.g. DEM and 3D).

Need radar sounding mission and ocean temperature observation network as (missing) complement.

Other cryosphere applications will benefit from more experience interpreting radar signals at long wavelengths in complex environments in terms of physical processes.

