Precise Aerodynamic Modelling through Swarm Attitude Maneuvers

Sabin V. Anton^a, Christian Siemes^a, Jose van den IJssel^a, Natalia Hładczuk^a and Pieter Visser^a

^a Department of Astrodynamics and Space Missions, Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands

Background & Motivation

As the density of objects in Low Earth Orbit (LEO) increases, the accuracy of aerodynamic modelling becomes crucial. Existing models using simple one or two-parameter kernels for gas-surface interactions—assuming either diffuse or quasi-specular reflections—prove inadequate above 400 km altitude, where the disparity between model predictions and experimental data, such as the drag coefficients from satellites STELLA and GRIDSPHERE, becomes evident.







Figure 1: On the left: US Space Catalog orbital debris (2023). On the right: the modelled drag coefficient of a sphere vs. altitude, assuming diffuse reemission, for different solar conditions, plotted against drag coefficients of the STELLA and GRIDSPHERE satellites [1].

Modelling Surface Roughness Scales

The influence of geometric surface roughness on gas-surface dynamics, often overlooked, could account for observed discrepancies in data. A new kernel, $K_R(n_L, v_i)$, rooted in electromagnetic wave scattering theory and paired with a local scattering kernel, $K_L(v_{i_L} \rightarrow v_{r_L})$ is proposed to address these roughness effects.

$$P(\boldsymbol{v}_r|\boldsymbol{v}_i) = \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{v}_r \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_G|} \int_{\boldsymbol{v}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_L < 0} K_L(\boldsymbol{v}_{i_L} \to \boldsymbol{v}_{r_L} | \boldsymbol{n}_L) \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_{r_L}}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_r} K_R(\boldsymbol{n}_L | \boldsymbol{v}_i) d\boldsymbol{n}_L$$

where $v_i, v_{r_i}, v_{i_L}, v_{r_L}$ are the incident and reflected velocities in the global and local reference frames; and n_L, n_G are the local and global normal vectors. When accounting for self-shadowing and multireflections with the escape probability $S(v_r | v_i)$, the total scattering probability becomes empirical Figure 4: Angular scattering indicatrix of Argon from smooth and degraded Kapton surfaces at incidence angles of 0° and 60° – simulated vs. experimental plots by Erofeev et. al [2].



Figure 5: Angular scattering indicatrix of Helium from an Aluminium surface at incidence angles of 0°, 45° and 60° – simulated vs. experimental plots by Erofeev et. al [2]. .

Parameter Fitting with Swarm Maneuver



$$P_{tot}(\boldsymbol{v}_{r}|\boldsymbol{v}_{i}) = \sum_{c=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{\boldsymbol{v}_{r_{1}}} \dots \int_{\boldsymbol{v}_{r_{c}}} \left[\prod_{k=1}^{c} P(\boldsymbol{v}_{r_{k}}|\boldsymbol{v}_{r_{k-1}}) \prod_{k=1}^{c-1} (1 - S(\boldsymbol{v}_{r_{k}})) S(\boldsymbol{v}_{r_{c}}) \right] d\boldsymbol{v}_{r_{c-1}} \dots d\boldsymbol{v}_{r_{1}} \right\}.$$

The Swarm satellite pair serves as an excellent testbed, through its variety of rough surface materials.



Figure 3: Swarm geometry model for aerodynamic simulations, with representative assigned materials. These materials may show large levels of roughness.



Figure 6: Simulation of Swarm's aerodynamic behavior at different attitudes using the ATHENS raytracing software. Figure 7: Aerodynamic model parameter fitting for density consistency at different instances in Swarm's attitude maneuver [3].



- Existing gas-surface interaction models cannot capture the aerodynamic behavior of RSOs at altitudes above 400 km, where Helium becomes significant;
- The neglection of the prominent geometric roughness spectrum of real surfaces (such as Kapton and aluminium) may constitute the root cause of disagreement with observations;
- A new model based on electromagnetic wave scattering theory can accurately recreate the experimental angular scattering indicatrices of several gases on rough surfaces at the expense of one extra parameter (σ/T);
- By varying the gas incident angles, Swarm attitude maneuvers would prove very useful in fitting the parameters of this model, to enable more consistent neutral density measurements.

References

[1] Walker, A., Mehta, P., & Koller, J. (2014). The effect of different adsorption models on satellite drag coefficients. In Astrodynamics 2013 - Advances in the Astronautical Sciences (pp. 675–686).

[2] Erofeev, A. I., Friedlander, O. G., Nikiforov, A. P. et al. (2012). The influence of roughness of on the interchange of momentum between gas flow surface 405 the solid surface. AIP Conference Proceedings. AIP. **URL:** and In http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4769673.doi:10.1063/1.4769673.

[3] March, Günther, van den IJssel, Jose, Siemes, Christian, Visser, Pieter N. A. M., Doornbos, Eelco N., & Pilinski, Marcin. (2021). Gas-surface interactions modelling influence on satellite aerodynamics and thermosphere mass density. J. Space Weather Space Clim., 11, 54. doi:10.1051/swsc/2021035