### **Copernicus POD Service Copernicus S-3 POD Performance of operational products**

### **Presenter: Jaime Fernández (GMV)**

C. Fernández (GMV) P. Féménias (ESA/ESRIN), C. Nogueira (EUMETSAT)

7<sup>th</sup> Sentinel-3 Validation Team Meeting, ESRIN, Italy 18-20 October 2022



© 2022 GMV Property – All rights reserved



## Agenda

- **1. Introduction to Copernicus POD Service**
- **2.** Performance of operational products
- 3. Next steps

## INTRODUCTION





## **INTRODUCTION TO CPOD SERVICE** PHYSICAL ARCHITECTURE





Sentinel-3 POD Service – Performance of products

Page 6



# **INTRODUCTION TO CPOD SERVICE**



### SENTINEL-3 POD MODELLING

-	-				
Model	Value		NRT	STC	NTC
EOPs	IERS rapid / finals	Arc length	24 h	5+24+3 h (32h)	
Reference System	IERS standards	Drag coefficient	10 (estimated)	1 (estimated)	
Gravity field	Current: EIGEN.GRGS.RL04 TVG Future: COSTG	Solar pressure coeff.	1 (estimated)	1 (fixed)	
Solid tides	IERS 2010	1/rev empiricals	2 sets per arc in: along sin+cos cross sin+cos	16 sets per arc in: along cnt+sin+cos cross cnt+sin+cos	
Ocean tides	FES 2014	(estimated)			
Atmospheric gravity	GFZ AOD L1B RL06	GNSS sampling	30 sec	10 sec	
Earth / Ocean pole tides	IERS 2010	GNSS products	magicGNSS	magicGNSS	CODE Finals
Radiation pressure model	Box-wing	Receiver ambiguities	Float <i>Future: Fixed</i>	Float <i>Future: Fixed</i>	Fixed
Earth radiation	Albedo and infra-red applied	Manoeuvres	Calibrated		
Atmospheric density model	msise00				



### **RESTITUTED ORBIT EPEHEMRIS (NRT) – POD**



3D differences CPOD ROE vs. COMB S3A
S3B E 01/01/2021 02/04/2021 02/07/2021 01/10/2021 31/12/2021 01/04/2022 01/07/2022

#### Sentinel-3 POD Service – Performance of products



Radial differences CPOD ROE vs. COMB at different sigma





Page 10

© 2022 GMV Property - All rights reserved

### **RESTITUTED ORBIT EPEHEMRIS (NRT) – MAR**



3D differences MAR ROE vs. COMB • S3A • S3B



Radial differences MAR ROE vs. COMB at different sigma

S3A S3B



Sentinel-3 POD Service – Performance of products



### **CPOD MEDIUM ORBIT EPEHEMRIS (MOE)**





Radial differences CPOD MOE vs. COMB at different sigma S3A S3B 2.5 2.2 1.5 1.24 сB 1.06 0.97 1 0.78 0.75 0.5 0 1-sigma (68%) 2-sigma (95%) 3-sigma (99.7%)

3-sigma impacted by outliers!



Sentinel-3 POD Service – Performance of products

### **CNES MEDIUM ORBIT EPEHEMRIS (MDO)**



3D differences CNES MDO vs. COMB • S3A • S3B



Radial differences CNES MDO vs. COMB at different sigma S3A 53B 2.5 2 1.5 1.5 1.5 0.97 0.95 1.22 1.11 0.81 0.78 0.97 0.95 1.22 1.11 0.95 0.97 0.95 





### **CPOD PRECISE ORBIT EPHEMERIS (POE)**

Radial differences CPOD POE vs. COMB • S3A • S3B 5 4.5 4.5 5 2.5 2 1.5 1.5 0 0 1/01/2021 02/04/2021 02/07/2021 01/10/2021 31/12/2021 01/04/2022 01/07/2022

3D differences CPOD POE vs. COMB • S3A • S3B 5 4.5 4 3.5 3 • E 2.5 2 1.5 • . ۰ 0 01/01/2021 02/04/2021 02/07/2021 01/10/2021 31/12/2021 01/04/2022 01/07/2022





### Change of parametrization in January 2021

© 2022 GMV Property - All rights reserved



Sentinel-3 POD Service – Performance of products

### **CNES PRECISE ORBIT EPHEMERIS (POE)**



3D differences CNES POE vs. COMB • S3A • S3B





Sentinel-3 POD Service – Performance of products



### **TUD RAPID**



01/01/2021 02/04/2021 02/07/2021 01/10/2021 31/12/2021 01/04/2022 01/07/2022





Generated by TU Delft for QC purposes

- @ 18 20h of next day
- Makes use of Integer Ambiguity Resolution



n



#### 23.0 25 22.0 E 20 13.8 15 -12.2 10.69.7 8.8 788.1 10 6.5 5.8

## PERFORMANCE SUMMARY OF RADIAL ERRORS







## **NEXT STEPS**

#### IGS: New GNSS PCO/PCV (ANTEX); Galileo fixed IGS: New GNSS orbits, clocks and biases; long filenames $\geq$

NEXT STEPS

- IGS: New EOPs / ERPs (finals2000A.data)?  $\geq$
- ILRS: New SLR station's coordinates  $\geq$

IGS: New mean pole model

- IDS: New DORIS station's coordinates
- CPOD: New Sentinels PCV map (ANTEX)  $\geq$
- CPOD/EGP: New GNSS orbits and clocks
- WHEN: 27/11/2022
- WHY: Periodic realization of ITRF: 94, 96, 97, 2000, 05, 08, **14**, **20**
- **IMPACT:**

**ITRF 20** 

 $\geq$ 

- Careful orchestration to use ITRF products on NRT / STC / NTC
- Need of reprocessing?







# **FOCUSPOD** WHAT: substitution of NAPEOS with **focusPOD**, a new GMV's POD SW

**NEXT STEPS** 

- > Written from scratch in C++ / Python
- > Designed as a library
- Developed to keep same performance (accuracy & timeliness)
- WHEN: 31/12/2022
- WHY:
  - Required by the CPOD#3 ITT (no more ESA's CFIs)
  - To enhance performance (timeliness, accuracy, manoeuvres)
  - Develop service/micro-service architectures
  - Future developments (raw & network processing, etc.)

### IMPACT:

- > Transparent to final users
- Validation period: Nov+Dec 2022



Generation timeliness CPOD ROE

• S-3A • S-3B





## **NEXT STEPS**

### **USE OF COST-G**



- WHAT: International Combination Service for Time-variable Gravity Fields (COST-G)
  - <u>https://cost-g.org/</u>
  - > See next presentation (Copernicus Sentinel-3 POD with COST-G)
- WHEN: First half of 2023 (To be agreed by CPOD QWG)
- WHY: To improve the accuracy and stability of products
- IMPACT:
  - > Better accuracy and stability of orbital products
  - > To update the geopotential quarterly
  - > Dependency with COST-G





## NEXT STEPS

### IAR in STC / NRT



- WHAT: Integer Ambiguity Resolution in shorter timeliness
  - Use GNSS biases from EGP on STC/NRT POD
  - Enhance robustness of STC/NRT POD
  - Exhaustive experimentation to confirm expected results  $\geq$
- WHEN: First half of 2023; first in STC, then in NRT
- WHY: To improve the accuracy and stability of products
- IMPACT: Better accuracy and stability of STC / NRT products



### STC IAR

Sentinel-3 POD Service – Performance of products

Page 22

© 2022 GMV Property – All rights reserved



Sentinel-3 POD Service – Performance of products



### **REDESIGN OF CPOD SERVICE**

- WHAT:
  - To enhance the use of DBs to archive processing metrics, QC, monitoring.

data

- To orchestrate processing using a modern service or micro-service architecture.
- WHFN: 2023

#### WHY:

- To optimize the hardware infrastructure
- To improve the timeliness of products
- To develop new products based on data

#### IMPACT:

- Better timeliness of products
- Better quality control  $\geq$
- More data to exploit  $\geq$





Products

## CONCLUSIONS

## CONCLUSIONS



- Mature service: +8 years of continuous operations
- Significant improvement of accuracy and timeliness with respect to original requirements
- Big changes in the following month / year: ITRF20, focusPOD, COST-G, IAR, DBs & Services



# Thank you

### **Copernicus POD Service**

### Jaime Fernández (GMV)

Carlos Fernández (GMV) Pierre Féménias (ESA/ESRIN) Carolina Nogueira Loddo (EUMETSAT)

