

Environnement et Changement climatique Canada





EarthCARE radiative transfer simulation using A-Train satellite data

Zhipeng Qu¹, Jason Cole¹, Howard Barker², Meriem Kacimi¹ Shannon Mason³, Robin Hogan³, Ben Courtier⁴,

- ¹: Environment and Climate Change Canada, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- ²: Environment and Climate Change Canada, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada
- ³: European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, Reading, United Kingdom
- ⁴: University of Leicester, Leicester, United Kingdom





1. Background

Radiative closure assessment of EarthCARE mission, algorithm chart:



2. RT simulation based on A-Train data

> Different objectives at different stages of satellite algorithm development & validation

NWP simulated test frames



- High resolution (250 m) for subgrid-scale variability
- 3D atmospheric scenes of cloud & aerosols (including off-nadir regions)
- Excellent for 3D RT simulation and assessment of its impact
- Reference truth available for verification
- Only fractions of orbits

Data from A-Train satellites



- Large number of orbit to test the robustness of satellite algorithm
- Real-world studies available
- Facilitates robust statistical analyses
- Lack reference truth
- Still allows for evaluation of 3D v. 1D RT?



4. Case study (03609D)

Frame 03609D, 2007-01-01

- Large land area with clear sky (Australia)
- Deep convective system + broken clouds
 + mixed phase clouds + low liquid clouds
- Low solar zenith angle (SZA) conditions
- Good agreement (SW & LW) over deep convection
- Good agreement over ocean (cloudy or clear sky)
- Over-estimation of SW fluxes over mixed phase cloud (cloud properties?)
- Underestimation of LW fluxes over Australian desert (Surface T/type?)





4. Case study (03609E)

Frame 03609E, 2007-01-01

- Mostly oceanic
- Multiple deep convective events + multilayer clouds + frontal system
- Larger SZA
- Good agreement for most conditions!
- ICA values (+) have more outliers than those of 3D RT (o)





5. Statistics (Shortwave 3D -1D fluxes at TOA)

A_c(1.0): large negative MB for overcast conditions over ocean & land (tropical region!): 3D effect of textured & convective cloud top with 'photon entrapment'?

A_c(0.5, 1.0): larger negative MB over land than over ocean: more convective over land for partially cloudy conditions?

A_c(0): positive MB over ocean & land: leakage from clouds adjacent to the assessment domain? (over land, only 10 cases near -5°)

All: larger RMS for tropical region: more convective, textured, multi-layered clouds?



5. Statistics (Longwave 3D -1D fluxes at TOA)



Ocean:

- Both MB and RMS are small relative to SW
- Smaller MB for overcast conditions
- Larger MB for partially cloudy conditions (MB ~ -1 W m⁻², upwelling diffuse beam intercepted by cloud sides)
- Largest RMS for cloudier conditions ($A_c > 0.5$) in the tropical region

6. Conclusion and perspectives

Satellite based input data are used in EarthCARE radiative closure assessment processors for algorithm evaluation

- A-Train based synergetic retrievals of cloud & aerosols using CAPTIVATE algorithm from Jan 1 to Mar 13, 2007
 - > 260 frames provided by ECMWF & ULeicester
- CERES CCCM upwelling SW & LW fluxes
- MODIS radiances for scene construction

3D – 1D fluxes:

- SW: larger differences for cloudier & more convective conditions (influence of turbulent cloud tops on RT fluxes?)
- SW: differences for tropical clear-sky conditions (influence of surrounding cloudy environment?)
- LW: differences are generally small for all cases (MBE < 2 W m⁻², RMSE < 5 W m⁻²)
- LW: larger differences for cloudier & more convective conditions (similar to SW case)

Perspectives:

- Further investigations of different causes of the discrepancy between ACM-RT fluxes and CERES CCCM fluxes
- Further evaluation of the impact of 3D RT w.r.t 1D ICA RT
- Simulate more frames to cover all seasons (at least a year)
- Continued improvement of the radiative closure assessment algorithm