

Comparison of the AEOLUS L2A products with groundbased lidar data from EARLINET in the period 2019 - 2023

Nikos Siomos (LMU), Antonis Gkikas (NOA), Holger Baars(TROPOS), Ula Wandinger (TROPOS) Vassilis Amiridis (NOA) Contribution from the EARLINET consortium

→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY

Main target: Evaluate the performance of the AEOLUS satellite L2A product



Overpasses Collected: 06/2019 - 05/2023



EALRINET Dataset:

- EARLINET DB files --> nominal dataset
- SCC DB files --> experimental dataset

AEOLUS Dataset:

Main target: Evaluate the performance of the AEOLUS satellite L2A product

Basic Cloud Screening on AEOLUS profiles

- Using the profile optical depth \rightarrow less than 1.5
- Using bin-to-bin backscatter difference \rightarrow less than 15 Mm⁻¹ sr⁻¹



Overpasses Collected: **06/2019 - 05/2023**



EALRINET Dataset:

20

- EARLINET DB files --> nominal dataset
- SCC DB files --> experimental dataset

AEOLUS Dataset:

Main target: Evaluate the performance of the AEOLUS satellite L2A product

Basic Cloud Screening on AEOLUS profiles:

- Using the profile optical depth \rightarrow less than 1.5
- Using bin-to-bin backscatter difference \rightarrow less than 15 Mm⁻¹ sr⁻¹



Overpasses Collected: **06/2019 - 05/2023**



EALRINET Dataset:

- EARLINET DB files --> nominal dataset
- SCC DB files --> experimental dataset

AEOLUS Dataset:

20

Main target: Evaluate the performance of the AEOLUS satellite L2A product

QA Screening of SCC DB problematic profiles:

- The SCC dataset is experimental (not public)
- Not all measurements are quality assured
- Manual screening is required

Overpasses Collected: 06/2019 - 05/2023



EALRINET Dataset:

- EARLINET DB files --> nominal dataset
- SCC DB files --> experimental dataset

AEOLUS Dataset:

Main target: Evaluate the performance of the AEOLUS satellite L2A product

- QA Screening of SCC DB problematic profiles:
- Laser alignment issues
- Reference height issues



Overpasses Collected: 06/2019 - 05/2023



EALRINET Dataset:

20

- EARLINET DB files --> nominal dataset
- SCC DB files --> experimental dataset

AEOLUS Dataset:

Main target: Evaluate the performance of the AEOLUS satellite L2A product

- QA Screening of SCC DB problematic profiles:
- Spike/leftover cloud issues
- High noise issues



Overpasses Collected: 06/2019 - 05/2023



EALRINET Dataset:

20

- EARLINET DB files --> nominal dataset
- SCC DB files --> experimental dataset

AEOLUS Dataset:

Main target: Evaluate the performance of the AEOLUS satellite L2A product

- QA Screening of SCC DB problematic profiles:
- Overlap region issues
- High uncertainty issues



Overpasses Collected: **06/2019 - 05/2023**



EALRINET Dataset:

- EARLINET DB files --> nominal dataset
- SCC DB files --> experimental dataset

AEOLUS Dataset:

Main target: Evaluate the performance of the AEOLUS satellite L2A product

- QA Screening of SCC DB problematic profiles:
- Overlap region issues
- High uncertainty issues



Overpasses Collected: **06/2019 - 05/2023**



EALRINET Dataset:

- EARLINET DB files --> nominal dataset
- SCC DB files --> experimental dataset

AEOLUS Dataset:

Overpass Definition

Collocation Criteria

- Spatial collocation \rightarrow **120km**
- Temporal collocation \rightarrow ±1.5 hours



Handling multiple profiles in a single overpass

- <u>Closest overpass</u>: The closest satellite profile in time to the ground-based measurement is selected
- Average overpass: The satellite profiles (up to 3 for 100km radius) are averaged



ANTIKYTHERA Start: 03Jul2019 16:19:22 UTC End: 03Jul2019 16:19:22 UTC 21.31°E 22.31°E 23.31°E 24.31°E 25.31°E



Comparison methodology

Aerosol products for the validation:

- EARLINET (355 only products)
 - Total backscatter (larger dataset)
 - Circular co-polar (converted) backscatter
 - Nighttime Raman Extinction
- AEOLUS
 - Circular co-polar backscatter
 - Extinction

Conversion to AEOLUS bins:

- Variable height scale
- Overpass wise conversion
- Bins with central height below 0.5km and above 10.5km are excluded



How to compare?

EARLINET Overpasses - Bsc. Coef.



Correlation-wise:

- Decent correlation
- "Average" and "Closest Profile" very similar
 → use "Closest Profile"
- Too high variability to actually reveal patterns → divide in classes and use histograms



μ: Median Bias --> the systematic error

 σ : RSME --> the random error (noise + spatiotemporal variability)

Systematic and Random error of the AEOLUS Backscatter Product



About the plots:

- Each point represent a class (e.g. height class)
- More than 50 bins averaged per class
- Points: Median bias (AEOLUS systematic error)
- X Error-bars: Class range
- Y Error-bars: RMSE (AEOLUS random error --> noise + variability)

Findings (**height** classes):

- Slightly higher biases for RAY bin
- The **random error** decreases with height from ~2.0 to 0.5 Mm⁻¹ sr⁻¹ (MID Bin)
- The abs. systematic error is also decreasing with height from ~0.3 to 0.01 Mm⁻¹ sr⁻¹ (MID bin)
- The Abs. Bias is generally small (< 0.3 Mm⁻¹ sr⁻¹)

Systematic and Random error of the AEOLUS Backscatter Product



Findings (scattering ratio SR classes):

- Slightly higher biases for RAY bin
- The random error increases with SR from ~1 to 2.5 Mm⁻¹ sr⁻¹ (MID bin)
- Pattern visible for the **systematic error**, decreasing with SR from ~1.5 to 0.01 Mm⁻¹ sr⁻¹ (MID bin)

Systematic and Random error of the AEOLUS Backscatter Product



Vertical OOD used! Slant OOD at 37.5° off zenith is ~ 25% larger

Findings (overlying optical depth OOD classes):

- Slightly higher biases for RAY bin
- The **random error** increases with SR from ~0.4 to 2 Mm⁻¹ sr⁻¹ (MID bin)
- Pattern visible for the **systematic error**, decreasing with SR from ~0.5 to 0.05 Mm⁻¹ sr⁻¹ (MID bin)
- Where does the pattern come from?

Co-Polar Backscatter





• 16.5% of the overpasses are "dust"

(Part. Lin. Depol. Ratio > 0.1 for layers thicker than 500m)

- The co-polar bias is reduced but not enough
 - \rightarrow The pattern is still there
- Using EARLINET bins with central height > 1.5km
 - \rightarrow Almost no change

Systematic and Random error of the AEOLUS Extinction Product



Findings:

- Lower data availability (night-time only Raman)
- Higher **RAY bin** biases below 1.5km (high OOD) smaller above 5.5km (low OOD)
- Random error generally ranging between ~60 to 70 Mm⁻¹ (MID bin)
- Similar pattern for the systematic errors, decreasing with OOD from ~35 to 5 Mm⁻¹ (MID bin)
- What do these AEOLUS products have in common? → cloud mask, binning, calibration?

Aeolus Science Conference 2023



- Automated ground-based lidar measurements and QA assustance critical for Cal/Val studies
- Cloud screening removes ~75% of overpasses
- Better general performance for the MID bin products
- Backscatter systematic and random error < 1.5 Mm⁻¹ sr⁻¹ and < 2.5 Mm⁻¹ sr⁻¹ respectively increasing with SR and OOD
- Extinction systematic and random error < 75 Mm⁻¹ and < 150 Mm⁻¹ sr⁻¹ behaves similarly to backscatter
- Publication in preparation

Aeolus Science Conference 2023



- Automated ground-based lidar measurements and QA assustance critical for Cal/Val studies
- Cloud screening removes ~75% of overpasses
- Better general performance for the MID bin products
- Backscatter systematic and random error < 1.5 Mm⁻¹ sr⁻¹ and < 2.5 Mm⁻¹ sr⁻¹ respectively increasing with SR and OOD
- Extinction systematic and random error < 75 Mm⁻¹ and < 150 Mm⁻¹ sr⁻¹ behaves similarly to backscatter
- Publication in preparation

Thank you for your attention !