

Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel



Importance of the Solid Earth structure for understanding the evolution of the Greenland ice-sheet

Jörg Ebbing & Agnes Wansing

Kiel University

European Polar Science Week Copenhagen, September 6th 2024





Motivation

 Bell (2008) described the role of sub-glacial conditions for the evolution of the Polar ice sheets





Motivation

Offshore

deposition

- Bell (2008) described the role of sub-glacial conditions for the evolution of the Polar ice sheets
- Whitehouse et al. (2019) extended the description of Solid Earth parameters described the key ingredients affecting the (Antarctic) Ice Sheets

Glacial erosion

Variable basal melt

Variable basal

heat flux

Interior

thickening

Isostatic

subsidence





Regional and local contributions to GHF



- Regional scale: Crustal and upper mantle sources
- > Local scale: radiogenic heat production (RHP) in upper crust

Motivation

- Bell (2008) described the role of sub-glacial conditions for the evolution of the Polar ice sheets
- Whitehouse et al. (2019) extended the description of Solid Earth parameters described the key ingredients affecting the (Antarctic) Ice Sheets
- Geothermal heat flow (GHF) has been identified as one key parameter, but also one of the largest sources of uncertainty (see Reading et al. 2022, Colgan et al. 2022)
 - Contribution of GHF to basal melt rates is c. 25 % of total volume
 - However, uncertainty of estimates is ~20%, mainly related to GHF





Geothermal heat flow models

Contributions to GHF and evolution of the ice-sheets and how to geophysically resolve this





Crustal thickness: Airborne & Satellite gravity data, Seismic data

Lithospheric thickness and architecture: Seismic tomography, Gravity data, Magnetotellurics

Key parameters: Composition and temperature -> Viscosity

Workflow for a complete lithospheric model







3D structure of the Greenland lithosphere

Vs at 130 km depth



NAT2021, Celli et al. 2021

Moho depth



39~km mean Moho depth

LAB depth





3D structure of the Greenland lithosphere

60 50

40 30

20

10



GHF

LAB depth

- Model predicts significant lithosphere thickness of > 140 km depth in the interior of Greenland
- Little indication of increased GHF
- in agreement with Colgan et al. (2022)



Colgan et al. 2022

3D structure of the Greenland lithosphere



Relative uplift rates

Model predicts significant lithosphere thickness of > 140 km depth in the interior of Greenland

- Little indication of increased GHF
- in agreement with Colgan et al.(2022)

However, thinner lithosphere corresponds with areas of higher uplift rates

Lateral variations important: E.g.
lateral variations in (3D) viscosity





LAB depth

The stable continental interior of Greenland?





Four recent models (A, B, D, E) of the thermal structure of the lithosphere.

All models are based on joint inversions/modelling of multiple, yet different, seismic and non-seismic datasets by different research groups.

Panels C and F highlight the agreement between these models in terms of their predicted depths to the thermal lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary (LAB); C) number of models predicting LAB > 180 km depth. F) number of models predicting LAB > 140 km depth

Conclusions and outlook

Solid Earth structure affects the Greenland ice-sheet at different levels:

- High surface heat flow would accelerate ice melting, but most lithospheric scale models and data predict a stable continental interior
 - Still, role of crustal heterogeneities must be further explored to link models and pointwise observations
 - Important to link Ice temperature models (from SMOS and CryoRad satellite missions) to Solid Earth Models
- Areas with thin lithosphere have elevated thermal gradients, leading to higher surface heat flow and mechanically weaker tectonic plates
 - \Rightarrow Affects coastal areas of Greenland, especially adjacent to Iceland plume
 - ⇒ Deep Earth structure must be considered for a complete understanding of the Greenland Ice Sheet



