The great potentiality of low earth orbiting satellite missions such as Swarm for detecting pre-earthquake ionospheric anomalies

De Santis A., Calcara M., Campuzano S.A.(*), Cianchini G., D'Arcangelo S.(*), De Caro MG., Fidani C., Nardi A., Orlando M., Perrone L., Piscini A., Sabbagh D., Soldani M.

ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI GEOFISICA E VULCANOLOGIA 🏈

Abstract

Sometimes, satellites designed for specific objectives, therefore equipped with appropriate payloads, extend their field of application to scientific areas very distant from the original ones. This is the case of the Low Earth Orbiting ESA Swarm mission: initially designed with its original configuration (three identical satellites equipped with magnetometers, Langmuir probes, highenergy particle detectors, GPS, etc.) to monitor and study the geomagnetic field and the state of the ionosphere and magnetosphere, the same data could be analysed to investigate preearthquake (EQ) ionospheric anomalies. For the first time, in 2017, a study (De Santis et al., 2017) showed some pre- and post-EQ magnetic field anomalies detected by the Swarm satellites on occasion of the 2015 Nepal M7.8 earthquake. Interestingly, the cumulative number of satellite anomalies and the cumulative number of EQs behaved similarly with the so-called **S-shape**, providing an empirical proof on the lithospheric origin of the satellite anomalies and supporting a lithosphere-atmosphere-ionosphere coupling (LAIC; Pulinets Ouzonov 2011). Following the same approach, other promising results were obtained for 12 case studies in the range of 6.1-8.3 EQ magnitude, in the framework of the SAFE (SwArm For Earthquake study) project funded by ESA (De Santis et al., 2019a). In 2019, almost five years of Swarm magnetic field and electron density data were analysed with a Superposed Epoch and Space approach and correlated with major worldwide M5.5+ earthquakes (De Santis et al., 2019b). The analysis verified a significant correlation between satellite anomalies and earthquakes above any reasonable doubt, after a statistical comparison with random simulations of anomalies. The work also confirmed the empirical Rikitake law (1987), initially proposed for ground data: the larger the magnitude of the impending earthquake, the longer the precursor time of anomaly occurrence in the ionosphere from satellite. A more recent investigation (Marchetti et al., 2022) over a longer time series of Swarm data, i.e. 8 years, confirmed the same results. Furthermore, we demonstrated through several case studies (e.g. De Santis et al., 2020; De Santis et al., 2022; Akhoondzadeh et al., 2022) that the integration with other kinds of measurements from ground, atmosphere and space (e.g. CSES data) reveals a chain of processes before mainshocks of many seismic sequences. We finally propose a two-way model including a diffusion process in the lithosphere with almost direct (likely electromagnetic) coupling with the above atmosphere and ionosphere and another with a delayed LAIC to explain most of the found anomalies preceding large earthquakes.





An anomaly was detected 11 days before the EQ

An automatic algorithm (**MASS***) calculates the first differences of the magnetic field signal then removes a cubic spline and finally detects anomalies when rms of a small window overcomes by 2.5 RMS of the whole track. **Cumulative number of anomalous tracks** mimics the same of foreshocks.

al., IEEE TRANS.

GEOSCIENCE &



DTU

Poster #106

(*) Also at

2 – An integrated multilayer-multilevel approach: some other case studies

* De Santis et al. EPSL; 2017

ATMOSPHERE INVESTIGATION

IONOSPHERE INVESTIGATION

OVERALL BEHAVIOR OF ANOMALIES



3 – Worldwide statistical correlations

World Statistical Correlation (WSC) on 8 years of Swarm data by Superposed Epoch Space Approach (De 2019b; al. Santis et Marchetti et al. 2022)

Largest concentrations of anomalies are close to epicentres and occur 10-30 and 80 days before EQs

d= real max / random max *n*= real st. deviation vs. random st. deviation

Swarm magnetic field anomalies with M5.5+ (2200) EQs







Duration and amplitude of the anomalies in the largest concentrations depend on the EQ magnitude



- 1. A multiparameter-multilayer approach is important to detect the preparatory phase of a strong earthquake, in which **satellite data analyses** are fundamental.
- 2. Earthquake case studies show **peculiar patterns in the lithospheric, atmospheric** and ionospheric anomalies, accelerating toward the moment of the mainshock.
- 3. Worldwide statistical analyses on 8 years of Swarm electron density and magnetic field show significant correlations with earthquakes.
- 4. **A two-way model** is proposed that takes into account of the overall progression of anomalies from different geolayers.

6. References

- Akhoondzadeh M., De Santis A., Marchetti D., Shen X. (2022). Swarm-TEC Satellite Measurements as a Potential Earthquake Precursor Together With Other Swarm and CSES Data: The Case of Mw7.6 2019 Papua New Guinea Seismic Event, Frontiers in Earth Science, 10.
- De Santis et al., (2015) Geospace perturbations induced by the Earth: the state of the art and future trends, Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, 85-86, 17-33.
- De Santis A. et al., (2017). Potential earthquake precursory pattern from space: the 2015 Nepal event as seen by magnetic Swarm satellites, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 461, 119-126.
- De Santis A. et al., (2019a). Magnetic Field and Electron Density Data Analysis from Swarm Satellites searching for Ionospheric Effects by Great Earthquakes: 12 Case Studies from 2014 to 2016, Atmosphere, 10, 371.
- De Santis A. et al. (2019b). Precursory worldwide signatures of earthquake occurrences on Swarm satellite data, Scientific Reports, 9:20287.
- De Santis A., Cianchini G., Marchetti D., Piscini A., Sabbagh D., Perrone L., Campuzano S.A., Inan S. (2020). A multiparametric approach to study the preparation phase of the 2019 Ridgecrest (California) Earthquake. Front. Earth Sci.
- De Santis A., et al. (2022). Multiparametric and multilayer study of June 15, 2019 M7.2 Kermadec Islands earthquake, Remote Sensing of Environment; 283, 113325.
- Dobrovolsky I.P., Zubkov S.I. and Miachin V.I., (1979). Estimation of the size of Earthquake preparation zones, Pure appl. Geophys., vol. 117, 1025-1044.
- Fan M., Zhu X., De Santis A. et al., IEEE TRANS. GEOSCIENCE & REMOTE SENSING, 2022
- Marchetti, D.; De Santis, A.; Campuzano, S.A.; Zhu, K.; Soldani, M.; D'Arcangelo, S.; Orlando, M.; Wang, T.; Cianchini, G.; Di Mauro, D.; et al. (2022). Worldwide Statistical Correlation of Eight Years of Swarm Satellite Data with M5.5+ Earthquakes: New Hints about the Preseismic Phenomena from Space. Remote Sens., 14, 2649
- Piscini, A., De Santis, A., Marchetti, D., Cianchini, G. (2017). A multi-parametric climatological approach to study the 2016 Amatrice-Norcia (Central Italy) earthquake preparatory phase. PAGeoph., 174, 10. DOI 10.1007/s00024-017-1597-8
- Pulinets S, Ouzounov, D. (2011). Lithosphere-Atmosphere-Ionosphere Coupling (LAIC) model. An unified concept for earthquake precursors validation. J. Asian Earth Sci., 41(4–5):371–382
- Rikitake T. (1987). Earthquake precursors in Japan: Precursor time and detectability, Tecton., 3-4, 265-282.

7. Acknowledgements

We thank the Italian Space Agency for funding Limadou-Science + Project and INGV-MUR for funding UNITARY Project (Pianeta dinamico – Working Earth).

Contacting author: angelo.desantis@ingv.it