



Mass transfer of CO₂ in Activated MDEA for CO₂ Capture from Natural Gas

PCCC4

Laila Ibrahim Jaafari, Bander Jaafari, Raphael Idem*

Clean Energy Technologies Research Institute, University of Regina, 3737 Wascana Parkway, Regina, SK, Canada, S4S 0A2 ² Presentation outline:

OIntroduction • Øbjectives CO₂ pilot plant process diagram Operating conditions **O**Results and Discussion • Conclusions

Introduction:

- 85% of energy production comes from fossil fuels.
- Natural gas is one of the fossil fuels.
- Natural gas contains acid gases such as H2S, CO2, etc. which need to be removed: To protect the environment from gas emissions.
 - To satisfy the specification for transporting and selling natural gas.
 - (Alcheikhhamdon, and Hoorfar, 2016).
 - Absorption process is widely used for gas sweetening using activated MDEA.
 - (MDEA is typically blended with MEA, DEA, PZ).
 - MDEA is selective for H₂S.
 - PZ is considered to be bad for the environment and need to find better solvent than PZ.
 - (Chakravarty et al., 1985).

4

Activators selected for use in this study:- based on structure

- Polyamines are good activator as they have many amino groups available to react with CO₂:
- Polyethylenimine [PEI] branched
- Tetraethylenepentamine [TEPA] linear
- Piperazine [PZ] cyclic
- 3 M MDEA blending with 0.1 and
- 0.3 M activator







5 Objectives:

- To evaluate the performance of MDEA activated with PEI-B, TEPA and PZ in terms of:
 - cyclic capacity
 - concentration profile
 - temperature profile
- To evaluate the overall mass transfer coefficient of CO₂ absorption (gas phase) and desorption (liquid phase) in MDEA activated with PEI-B, TEPA and PZ.

CO2 capture pilot plant process diagram:



Operating Conditions:

Total gas flow rate 25SL/min

- Amine flow rate 60ml/min.
- **CO**₂ content is 20,50, 100%.
- **Total pressure** as 101.3 kpa.
- Temperature of absorber in 20 °C
- Temperature of the desorber in 120 °C
- Absorber packing type in the absorber is structured packing.
- Absorber packing type in the desorber is random packing.
- total height of the column = 1.0668 m

Possible products polyamine (PEI-B) reaction with CO₂

For primary amino groups:

8

 $PEI + CO_{2} + H2O \longrightarrow PEI (COO)^{-}_{(P)} + H3O^{+}$ $PEI (COO)^{-}_{(P)} + CO2 + H2O \longrightarrow PEI (COO)_{2}^{2-}_{(2P)} + H3O^{+}$ $PEI (COO)^{2-}_{2}_{2}_{2P} + CO2 + H2O \longrightarrow PEI (COO)^{3-}_{3(3P)} + H3O^{+}$ $PEI (COO)^{3-}_{3(3P)} + CO2 + H2O \longrightarrow PEI (COO)^{4-}_{4(4P)} + H3O^{+}$ $PEI (COO)^{4-}_{4(4P)} + CO2 + H2O \longrightarrow PEI (COO)^{5-}_{5(5P)} + H3O^{+}$ $PEI (COO)^{5-}_{5(5P)} + CO2 + H2O \longrightarrow PEI (COO)^{6-}_{6(6P)} + H3O^{+}$

9 Secondary amino groups:

 $PEI + CO_{2} + H2O \longrightarrow PEI (COO)^{-}_{(s)} + H3O^{+}$ $PEI (COO)^{-}_{(s)} + CO2 + H2O \longrightarrow PEI (COO)_{2}^{2-}_{(2s)} + H3O^{+}$ $PEI (COO)^{2-}_{2}_{(2s)} + CO2 + H2O \longrightarrow PEI (COO)^{3-}_{3(3s)} + H3O^{+}$ $PEI (COO)^{3-}_{3(3s)} + CO2 + H2O \longrightarrow PEI (COO)^{4-}_{4(4s)} + H3O^{+}$ $PEI (COO)^{4-}_{4(4s)} + CO2 + H2O \longrightarrow PEI (COO)^{5-}_{5(5s)} + H3O^{+}$ $PEI (COO)^{5-}_{5(5s)} + CO2 + H2O \longrightarrow PEI (COO)^{6-}_{6(6s)} + H3O^{+}$

Primary and secondary amino groups The possible products

PEI (COO)₂²⁻(1p1s), PEI (COO)₃³⁻(1p2s), PEI (COO)₄⁴⁻(p3s), PEI (COO)₅⁵⁻(p4s), PEI (COO)₆⁶⁻(p5s), PEI (COO)₇⁷⁻(p6s),

PEI (COO)₃³⁻(2p1s), PEI (COO)₄⁴⁻(3p1s), PEI (COO)₅⁵⁻(4p1s), PEI (COO)₆⁶⁻(5p1s), PEI (COO)₇⁷⁻(6p1s),..... PEI (COO)₁₂¹²⁻(6p6s)

Reaction of Tertiary amino groups and MDEA with CO_2

 $\mathsf{MDEA} + \mathsf{CO2} + \mathsf{H2O} \longrightarrow \mathsf{MDEAH}^{+} + \mathsf{HCO}_{3}^{-1}$

PEI + CO2 + H2O \longrightarrow PEIH⁺ + HCO₃⁻ PEIH⁺ + CO2 + H2O \longrightarrow PEIH₂²⁺ + HCO₃⁻ PEIH₂²⁺ + CO2 + H2O \longrightarrow PEIH₃³⁺ + HCO₃⁻ PEIH₃³⁺ + CO2 + H2O \longrightarrow PEIH₄⁴⁺ + HCO₃⁻

Results and discissions: Concentration profile (20% CO₂)



MDEA/PEI-B > MDEA/TEPA > MDEA/PZ > 3 M MDEA.

Results and discussion: Absorber temperature profile:



MDEA/PEI-B > MDEA/TEPA > MDEA/PZ > 3 M MDEA.

Results and discussion: Absorber temperature profile:



MDEA/PEI-B > MDEA/TEPA > MDEA/PZ > 3 M MDEA.

Results and discussion:

15 Cyclic capacity

cyclic capacity of 3 M MDEA and its blends with 0.1, 0.3 M PZ, TEPA and PEI-B with 20 % CO₂



cyclic capacity of 3 M MDEA and its blends with 0.1, 0.3 M PZ, TEPA and PEI-B with 100 % CO2 0.3 **Cyclic capacity (kg CO2/hr)** 0.28 0.26 0.26 0.25 0.24 0.1 M PII3M MOLAI 100% CO2 0.1M TEPA13 M MDEAI 100% CO2 0.3M Pt13M MDEAL 200% CO2 0.3 M TEPA 3 M MOEAL 200% CO2 0.1 MPH-BIMDEAL 100% CO2 3 M MDEA 100% CO2

MDEA/PEI-B > MDEA/TEPA > MDEA/PZ > 3 M MDEA.

Over all mass transfer coefficient in gas phase

$$K_{G}a_{v} = \left[\frac{G_{I}}{P(y_{A,G} - y_{A}^{*})_{lm}}\right]\left[\frac{dY_{A,G}}{dz}\right]$$

(Naami et al., 2012)

$$(y_{A,G} - y_A^*)_{lm} = \frac{(y_{A,G} - y_A^*)_1 - (y_{A,G} - y_A^*)_2}{\ln \left[\frac{(y_{A,G} - y_A^*)_1}{(y_{A,G} - y_A^*)_2} \right]}$$

17 Results and discussion: 3 M MDEA blend with0.1 and 0.3 M activator

KGav (mol/m³.min.kpa)using 20 % CO2 8.00E-02 7.00E-02 6.00E-02 5.00E-02 4.00E-02 3.00E-02 2.00E-02 1.00E-02 0.00E+00 **3 M MDEA** 0.1 M pz 0.3 M pz **0.1 M TEPA** 0.1 M PEI **0.3 M TEPA** 0.3 M PEI

MDEA/PEI-B > MDEA/TEPA > MDEA/PZ > 3 M MDEA.

¹⁸ Overall mass transfer coefficient in liquid phase in the desorber

$$K_L a_v = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\overline{L_{m,i}} \Delta x_{A,i}}{\overline{\rho_{m,i}} (1 - \overline{x_{A,i}}) (\overline{x_{A,i}} - \overline{x_{A,i}^*})}$$

(Oșéi, 2016)

Over all mass transfer coefficient in liquid phase in the desorber

 $\Delta X_{A} = \left(\frac{x CO2 (rich)}{1 - x CO2 (rich)}\right) - \left(\frac{x CO2 (lean)}{1 - x CO2 (lean)}\right)$

19

Density $(mol/m^3) = mol/cm^{3*} 10^6$ Density = $(\rho \operatorname{rich} - \rho \operatorname{lean})/LN (\rho \operatorname{rich} / \rho \operatorname{lean})$ $(x_a - x_a^*)lm = (x_l - x^*) - (x_R - x^*)/(LN(x_l - x^*)/(x_R - x^*))$

Im,1 molar rate of liquid solution per unit area

 \square m,I = solution density mol/ cm³* (60 ml/min)*60/ A = mol/m² * hr

m,I = (Im, R- Im, L)/LN(Im, R- Im, L)

²⁰ Results and discussion:







MDEA/PEI-B > MDEA/TEPA > MDEA/PZ > 3 M MDEA.

Conclusions

- PEI-B is a better activator for blending with MDEA than TEPA and PZ.
- MDEA/PEI-B system shows higher performance for KGav, Klav, cyclic capacity, temperature profile, and concentration profile.
 - The presence of secondary and primary amino groups leads to the formation of multi primary and/or secondary carbamates which are faster to absorb and easier to desorb CO₂ (Choi et al. (2014)).

The trend is: MDEA/PEI-B > MDEA/TEPA > MDEA/PZ > 3 M MDEA.

References

Naami, A., Edali, M., Sema, T., Idem, R., Tontiwachwuthikul, P., 2012. Mass Transfer Performance of CO₂ Absorption into Aqueous Solutions of 4-Diethylamino-2-butanol, Monoethanolamine, and N-Methyldiethanolamine. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 51 (18), 6470–6479.

Choi, S., Nam, S., Yoon, Y., Park, K., Park, S., 2014. Carbon dioxide absorption into aqueous blends of methyldiethanolamine (MDEA) and alkyl amines containing multiple amino groups. Ind. Eng. Chem.

Res. 53 (37), 14451–14461.

Alcheikhhamdon, Y., Hoorfar, M., 2016. Natural gas quality enhancement: A review of the conventional treatment processes, and the industrial challenges facing emerging technologies. J. of Natural Gas Sci. and Eng. 34, 689-701.

Chakravarty, T., Phukan, U.K., Weiland, R.H., 1985. Reaction of acid gases with mixtures of amines.
Chem. Eng. Prog. 81, 32-36.

Acknowledgements



وزارة التعليم Ministry of Education



CETR



