



## **CSQ-57 Narrative**

## **How vegetation and climate interactions vary across scales?**

The better understanding of vegetation-climate interactions at macro-climatic levels has been addressed by the Earth System modeling community using coarse-scale data (i.e., MODIS data). A key scientific question now is how macro-climate is linked to micro-climate and to take the vegetation climate interactions to a level of detail considering climate conditions that is experienced by most terrestrial species. Micro-climate is often regulated by vegetation and spatially detailed remote sensing data of land surface temperature, albedo, water vapor and soil moisture can help linking vegetation characteristics to local climatic conditions and help to scale from plot level often monitored by ecologists to more macro-Earth System models. Bridging information and understand across scales will improving monitoring the impacts of changing climates at the level of species and individuals.

From a sensing perspective, land surface temperature (i.e. Landsat, LSTM), albedo and water vapor are critical variables. In particular LSTM with good spatial/temporal resolution and high precision and making good use of ENMAP/CHIME as way to measure albedo would be desirable. The use of soil moisture information (i.e. from SMOS) is also very important.

## References:

Hansen et al., 2021. Toward monitoring forest ecosystem integrity within the post‐2020 Global Biodiversity Framework, Conservation Letters, 14,: 4, DOI: (10.1111/conl.12822)

IPBES (2019): Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. E. S.

Hollings, T. M. Burgman, M. van Andel, M. Gilbert, T. Robinson, A. Robinson (2018). How do you find the Green Sheep? A critical review of the use of remotely sensed imagery to detect and count animals, Methods in Ecology and Evolution, [https://doi.org/10.1111/2041](https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12973)-210X.12973

Brondizio, J. Settele, S. Díaz, and H. T. Ngo (editors). IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany. 1148 pages. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3831673>

Jaureguiberry et al. (2022) The direct drivers of recent global anthropogenic biodiversity loss, Science Advances, 8, eabm9982

Junker et al., (2023). List and specifications of EBVs and EESVs for a European wide biodiversity observation network,<https://doi.org/10.3897/arphapreprints.e102530>

Moersberger et al., (2023). Europa Biodiversity Observation Network: User and Policy Needs Assessment, <https://doi.org/10.3897/arphapreprints.e84517>

Senf C. (2022). Seeing the System from Above: The Use and Potential of Remote Sensing for Studying Ecosystem Dynamics, Ecosystems 25: 1719–1737, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-022-00777-2)-022-00777-2

Skidmore, A. et al., (2021). Priority list of biodiversity metrics to observe from space, Nature Eco Evo, 5, 896–906, [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-021-01451-x)-021-01451-x

Ustin & Middleton (2021). Current and near-term advances in Earth observation for ecological applications, Ecological processes 10:1, https://doi.org/10.1186/s13717-020-00255-4

Verbesselt J. et al. (2016). Remotely sensed resilience of tropical forests. Nature Climate Change 6:1028–1031.

Xue, Y, Wang, Y., & A. Skidmore (2017) Automatic Counting of Large Mammals from Very High Resolution Panchromatic Satellite Imagery, Remote Sens. 2017, 9(9), 878; https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9090878