

Snow depth from CryoSat-2 and ICESat-2 freeboards: Progress and on-going work



Ron Kwok Applied Physics Laboratory University of Washington Seattle, WA



Acknowledgment: Sahra Kacimi (JPL), Melinda Webster (UAF), Rob Massom (UTAS), Petra Heil (UTAS)



Measurement Principle





Examined using CS-2, ATM lidar freeboards and snow depth from snow radar

ELSEVIER

Advances in Space Research 62 (2018) 1243-1250

(a COSPAR publication)

www.elsevier.com/locate/asr

Potential basin-scale estimates of Arctic snow depth with sea ice freeboards from CryoSat-2 and ICESat-2: An exploratory analysis

R. Kwok^{a,*}, T. Markus^b

^a Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA ^b NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

Received 24 January 2017; received in revised form 30 August 2017; accepted 5 September 2017 Available online 15 September 2017



 $h_{fs} = rac{(h_f^{lidar} - h_{fi}^{radar})}{\eta_s}.$



Arctic

Kwok, R., Kacimi, S., Webster, M. A., Kurtz, N. T., & Petty, A. A. (2020). Arctic snow depth and sea ice thickness from ICESat-2 and CryoSat-2 freeboards: A first examination. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 125, e2019JC016008. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC016008</u>

Kwok, R. (2020): Arctic Ocean sea ice snow depth and ice thickness. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.914565

Antarctic

Kacimi, S., Kwok, R., The Antarctic sea ice cover from ICESat-2 and CryoSat-2: freeboard, snow depth, and ice thickness, The Cryosphere, 14, 4453–4474, 2020. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-4453-2020



- Recognize that absolute accuracies are difficult to establish
- Time-variable behavior
- Spatial/temporal anomalies should be attributable to atmospheric forcing or other sensible physical arguments
 - Anomalies are those patterns that are unexpected based on climatology or expected behavior
- Positively/negatively correlated changes in IS-2 and CS-freeboards should be connected to physical processes
- Examining extremes in retrievals (sensitivity)

Some examples



Snow depth retrievals (Oct and Apr)









Cyclone and associated snowfall anomalies (1979-2019, ERA-Interim)



Figure 5. December–February anomalies in the (a) number of cyclone events and (b) cyclone-associated snowfall based on the 1979–2019 climatological mean using ERA-Interim reanalysis data. Cyclones were more frequent, stronger, and precipitated more snowfall for the 2018–2019 midwinter period than the climatological mean.



Antarctic September fields





Ice convergence along A/B coast in 2019





Time-varying distributions

- Correlated changes in ice and snow thickness.
- Convergence increase the tails of the thickness distribution, but why is there correlated changes in snow as well?
- Hypothesis (Takenobu et al. (2016))
 - Ice cover closed
 - No snow loss into leads
 - Or, increase snow fall as well.
 - Testing hypothesis with ERA5.

- Thickest Ice (≥2m) and snow in the *Bellingshausen* and *Western Weddell*.
- Thinnest ice layer in the *Ross* and *Eastern Weddell* sector (<1.5 m).
- Weak seasonal cycle.





- Salinity: increasing evidence of biases in the ice freeboard estimates from CS-2 (from our retrievals and others) due to salinity at the snow-ice interface Need to better understand the evolution of brine the snow layer
- Urgent need for coordinated measurements of time-varying snow properties (salinity, density, temperature) especially in the Antarctic for developing simple models usable in snow depth/thickness retrievals from altimetry.



- Status/on-going work
 - Assessment of the Arctic/Antarctic snow depth
 - Examine seasonal and interannual variability
 - Refinement of retrievals based on understanding of salinity at the snow-ice interface.