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## Objective

Maximize deflection of asteroid at close approach for $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{C}$ with specified mass, $V_{\text {of Earth }}$, thrust magnitude, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{sp}}$.

Assume flight profile similar to that of recent missions to asteroids, e.g. DART, OSIRIS-REx, Dawn.

Make result as accurate as possible; use JPL ephemeris (SPICE) for position of the asteroid target and for positions of the principal bodies causing gravitational perturbations to the flight of the spacecraft.

## Method

The figure shows the simulation plan:


1) Earth departure; date and $V_{\infty / \text { Earth }}$ direction chosen by optimizer
2) L-T electric propulsion with thrust direction chosen by optimizer.
3) Interception/collision "constraint" satisfied on date chosen by optimizer
4) Impact causes very small $\delta v$, which depends on relative velocity, remaining mass of $s / c$, impact characteristics

$$
\delta v_{0}=\frac{m_{s / c}\left(v_{s / c}-v\right)}{m+m_{s / c}}
$$

5) Asteroid continues on ephemerisgenerated trajectory

## Method (2)

The figure shows the simulation plan:

6) At Earth SOI, s/c $\bar{r}$ and $\bar{v}$ and TOF allow determination of STM coefficients. Then

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\delta \vec{r} \\
\delta \vec{v}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{R} & R \\
\tilde{V} & V
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\delta \vec{r}_{0} \\
\delta \vec{v}_{0}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\delta \bar{v}_{0}$ is the impact-caused change in velocity. Impact is assumed inelastic w/ no benefit from ejecta.

New

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{r}=\bar{r}+\delta \bar{r} \\
& \bar{v}=\bar{v}+\delta \bar{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

7) The asteroid motion is then integrated forward until close approach. The deflection is the increase from the nominal close approach distance.

## Method (3)

Equations of Motion

Planetary perturbations from attractions of Venus, Earth-Moon, Mars, Jupiter.
Thrust components are functions of an in-plane pointing angle $\beta$ and out-of-plane pointing angle $\gamma$.

## Method (4)

Optimization via two qualitatively different methods.

- PSO (particle swarm optimization)

A heuristic method.
Has the benefit of being initialized randomly, i.e. no initial guess needed.
"Particles" are N -dimension potential solutions
Particles move in N dimensional search space, to improve their cost
Particles "communicate"; all learn best location known to the swarm
Continuous controls need to be expressed as function of a small
number of parameters. For this simulation, thrust pointing angles
are represented by $5^{\text {th }}$-degree polynomials in TOF.
No native way to incorporate constraints; need to use penalty functions
For this problem there are 16 PSO parameters; 12 thrust angle polynomial coefficients, $2 V_{\infty \circ \text { Earrh }}$ departure angles, departure date, collision date.

- R-K Parallel Shooting


## Example

Test case is deflection of Apophis. Apophis close approach is 13 April 2029.

Initial thrust accel. $=18 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~g}$ $V_{\infty / \text { Earth }}=1.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$
Initial S/C mass $=10000 \mathrm{~kg}$
Epoch date is $1 / 1 / 2026$. Optimizer chooses departure date of 11/13/2026 and impact date of 1/19/2028
S/C mass at impact $=7764 \mathrm{~kg}$


Impact results in deflection of 1267 km

## Example (2)

Thrust pointing angles during powered flight, parametrized by $5^{\text {th }}$ degree polynomials in TOF
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## Results - Variation with Departure $V_{\infty / \text { Earh }}$ and Thrust Magnitude

| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{m}_{0}\left(10^{-6} \mathrm{~g}\right)$ | $V_{\infty / \text { Earth }}(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s})$ | Defl $(\mathrm{km})$ | Interception (AU) | Departure | Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 30 | 1.80 | -1371 | $7.50 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $12 / 30 / 2026$ | $1 / 19 / 2028$ |
| 24 | 1.80 | -1361 | $7.40 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $12 / 11 / 2026$ | $1 / 19 / 2028$ |
| 18 | 1.80 | -1267 | $3.30 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $11 / 13 / 2026$ | $1 / 19 / 2028$ |
| 18 | 1.65 | -1217 | $2.90 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $11 / 10 / 2026$ | $1 / 19 / 2028$ |
| 18 | 1.50 | -1147 | $7.80 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $11 / 7 / 2026$ | $1 / 19 / 2028$ |
| 12 | 1.50 | -846 | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $10 / 11 / 2026$ | $1 / 19 / 2028$ |
| 12 | 1.35 | -828 | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $10 / 14 / 2026$ | $1 / 19 / 2028$ |
| 12 | 1.20 | -851 | $9.00 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $10 / 22 / 2026$ | $1 / 19 / 2028$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Earth departure is possible any day after 1/1/2026
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## Confirmation of PSO (heuristic) Result with R-K (NLP-based) Result

Same deflection of Apophis prior to April 2029 close approach
S/C Initial thrust accel. $=30 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~g}$
Exhaust velocity $=29.78 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}\left(\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{sp}}=3035 \mathrm{sec}\right)$
$V \infty /$ Earth $=1.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$
Initial S/C mass $=10000 \mathrm{~kg}$
Epoch date is $1 / 1 / 2026$

$$
R \text {-K result }
$$

Departure date of 12/30/2026
Impact date of $1 / 19 / 2028$
S/C mass remaining at impact $=6674 \mathrm{~kg}$ Interception (collision) error $=5.3 \mathrm{E}-8 \mathrm{AU}$ Impact results in deflection of 1376 km

PSO result
Departure date of 12/30/2026
Impact date of $1 / 19 / 2028$
$\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{C}$ mass remaining at impact $=6674 \mathrm{~kg}$ Interception (collision) error $=7.5 \mathrm{E}-7 \mathrm{AU}$ Impact results in deflection of 1371 km

## Conclusions

- A heuristic (PSO) optimizer has successfully found optimal strategies for asteroid deflection missions.
- This solution method is straightforward and benefits from not needing to require an initial guess, which can prejudice convergence to a local minimum.
- A qualitatively different optimization method, similar to collocation, in which the problem is converted to a (large) NLP problem, has confirmed the solution obtained by PSO.
- The use of the system STM is simplifying and also adds to accuracy, since forward integration of the EOM post-collision is numerically difficult because the delta- V caused by the impact is only a fraction of $1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$.
- Interestingly, for the case of Apophis, the optimizer chooses a lengthy wait time before departure, in order to improve the relative geometry of Earth and Apophis.

