Low-energy collisions of excited positronium with antiprotons

I. I. Fabrikant^{*1}, A. S. Kadyrov[†], I. Bray[†], and M. Charlton[‡]

* Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68588, USA

[†] Curtin Institute for Computation and Department of Physics, Curtin University, GPO Box U1987, Perth, WA 6845,

Australia

[‡] Department of Physics, College of Science, Swansea University, Swansea, SA2 8PP, UK

Synopsis We investigate *l*-mixing and charge transfer processes in positronium-antiproton collisions using the convergent close coupling method and the threshold theory. We find substantial differences with the results of classical trajectory Monte Carlo simulations.

Collisions of excited positronium (Ps) atoms with antiprotons is an efficient way of producing antihydrogen atoms in the reaction [1]

$$\operatorname{Ps}(n_i, l_i) + \bar{p} \to \bar{\operatorname{H}}(n', l') + e^-.$$
(1)

Relevant processes important for understanding of the antihydrogen formation are elastic and inelastic collisions

$$\operatorname{Ps}(n_i, l_i) + \bar{p} \to \operatorname{Ps}(n_f, l_f) + \bar{p}.$$
 (2)

l-mixing collisions with $n_i = n_f$ can be called quasielastic because of the degeneracy of Ps states in *l* for a given n_i .



Figure 1. Partial cross section for $Ps(4s) \rightarrow Ps(4d)$ transition due to collisions with \bar{p} . Solid line: threshold theory. Dashed line: CCC calculations.

In the present work we extend our previous studies of $Ps(n_i l) - \bar{p}$ collisions [2] to $n_i \ge 3$. First we compare the results of the threshold theory (TT) [3] with the convergent close coupling calculations (CCC). The TT predicts oscillations of partial *l*-mixing cross sections as functions of ln(E) where *E* is the collision energy. With the growth of n_i these oscillations become more irregular due to contribution of several harmonics to the cross section. As an example, in Fig. 1 we present cross section for $Ps(4s) \rightarrow Ps(4d)$ transition for the total angular momentum quantum number L = 1. Note that the phase of each harmonic cannot be determined from the TT, therefore the phases were chosen arbitrarily without attempts to fit the CCC results. It is clear though from these and similar results for other partial waves that generally the TT reproduces structure of the *ab initio* cross sections and their values averaged over oscillations.

Until recently most calculations of the processes (1), (2) were accomplished by using the Classical Trajectories Monte Carlo (CTMC) method (see, for example, [4]). To analyze their validity, we compare the results of quantum and classical [5] theories for *l*-mixing processes. We find that the quantum partial cross sections cover a much broader range of impact parameters (or collisional angular momenta) which makes the total quantum *l*-mixing cross sections substantially higher than classical. With regard to the charge transfer process (1) we observe an opposite trend due to the phenomenon of quantum suppression. As a result, the quantum charge transfer cross section grows much slower with the initial principal quantum number n_i than the classical. At lower n_i we do not observe a substantial growth at all, and for higher n_i the cross section grows as n_i^2 whereas CTMC calculations predict the n_i^4 growth.

References

- [1] M. Charlton 1990 Phys. Lett. A 143 143
- [2] I. I. Fabrikant, A. W. Bray, A. S. Kadyrov, and I. Bray 2016 Phys. Rev. A 94 012701
- [3] M. Gailitis 1982 J. Phys. B 15 3423
- [4] D. Krasnicky, R. Caravita, C. Canali, and G. Testera 2016 Phys. Rev. A 94 022714
- [5] A. K. Kazansky and V. N. Ostrovsky 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 3094

¹E-mail: ifabrikant@unl.edu