

GeoViewer

Revolutionizes remote monitoring of water utilities through a proven solution

Benefits customers worldwide

Provides a DIGITAL TWIN Asset Management and Operational Maintenance Solution

Improving Remote Monitoring of Water Utilities

NOB

Company Overview

Nobel Systems, Inc.



Cloud-hosted Enterprise Geographic Information System (GIS)

A subscription-based mapping system for dynamic visualization, geospatial data management and analysis. Founded in 1992, and headquartered in San Bernardino, California, Nobel Systems Inc. has enjoyed relationships with its clients across the world and encompassing thousands of users. Many of our relationships with our customers have lasted over decades.

With more than 25 years working in the water industry, Nobel Systems' continues to deliver real-world solutions that integrate with your existing network and devices, enabling the collection and sharing of data.

We pride ourselves in being a leader in technology within the water industry and are constantly innovating and inventing new ways to improve the way organizations manage their operations. We deliver a Smart Water Management concept.

Solution Overview

Solution must:



Monitor water level and condition (through pressure sensors, among others)



Forecast Demand and monitor consumption to help manage the pressure and speed of water throughout system



Be an Asset management solution, detect leaks and enable predictive maintenance



Enable Staff safety and track operational performance including workforce capacity and work orders



Must provide for customer service, for example, real-time updates on closures and disruptions, hourly consumption, leak detection, and customer feedback

What is GeoViewer?

- GeoViewer is a Real-Time, Total Operations Management solution for Utilities. It isn't just an Asset Management System.
- It creates a DIGITAL TWIN model of the network by utilizing:
 - IoT Sensor Data
 - Calibrated Hydraulic Models and Machine Learning Algorithms to forecast System Demand and Pressures
 - Maintenance Data easily gathered from GeoViewer mobile and web apps
- It allows for remote management of staff
- Proven solution with customers in United States and Philippines

Included in the GeoViewer solution are also water loss consultants to help reduce NRW (Non-Revenue Water Loss) for utilities



Create a computer mapping system (GIS)

- The first step in any remote monitoring of water utilities would be to create a GIS system of the utility
- Nobel Systems has several years of experience in building such a system especially in countries such as the Philippines and India where data is not easily available
- Digitize the parcels from satellite images and transfer that data to a tablet
- The field staff take the tablet in the field and start to capture meters, valves and other assets
- The data is automatically transferred to the GIS and the staff start connecting the dots to build the water network
- This is again field verified to check for accuracy
- We can also import existing Data if available into the system
- Finally, the map is created









Real-Time Monitoring of water level and condition

- Nobel Systems provides its unique patented water quality/water level and pressure monitoring system
- This is based on the latest Internet of Things (IoT) technology
- This is an easy to install cellular battery powered device.
- Pressure data is transmitted every three seconds in real time

Viewing IoT Data

- Nobel's proprietary IoT device is installed on the street in an air release can (see highlighted circle in red encompassing the device in green)
 - Pressure data is transmitted every three seconds in real time



Low Pressure Alerts

- GeoViewer triggers an instant alert
- This alert sent via text to the duty foreman and any other designee
- Alert is highlighted in red on the map



Backup. Current value 0 PSI. Please take the necessary action.-- Nobel IoT alerts

Critical pressure at location Teresa Backup. Current value 0 PSI. Please take the necessary action.-- Nobel IoT alerts

Today 4:00 AM

Critical pressure at location Teresa Backup. Current value 47 PSI. Please take the necessary action.-- Nobel IoT alerts

Critical pressure at location Teresa Backup. Current value 47 PSI. Please take the necessary action.-- Nobel IoT alerts

Critical pressure at location Teresa Backup. Current value 34 PSI. Please take the necessary action.-- Nobel IoT alerts

Operational Management - Leak Detection

A typical workflow on leak detection and asset management

- Digital Twin triggers low pressure alert
- Field staff identify leak and close valves (highlighted in yellow)
- Digital Twin simulates the scenario (Valve Closed) and affected Customers (highlighted in green) are notified
- Work Request/Work Order is generated to repair the leak





Preventative Maintenance

Valve Maintenance

- Dashboard shows valves needing repair, or inoperable or to be resurveyed
- Map is color coded showing valves recently exercised in green, valves exercised in the past one year in yellow and those valves in urgent need of maintenance in red





Deriving Business Risk of Failure From Sensor and Maintenance data



DIGITAL TWIN: Real-Time Demand Forecasting and Monitoring Water Pressure



Nobel's proprietary Machine Learning Algorithm (ML) leverages the existing GIS Data, pressure, depth sensors and creates a DIGITAL TWIN of the existing water system.

- Digital Twin Model is simulated every day using daily demand data and calibrated (verified) to measured pressure and depth datasets.
- Once Digital Twin is calibrated, Nobel's Proprietory ML algorithm will accurately forecast the demand and predict pressure, flow, velocity for the next 24 hours for the entire water network.

The forecasted pressure, velocity, and energy use provides actionable insights to optimize existing network.

| arch | | | lodes | | | | | Linke | ۹ | Summa | ary | ٩ | BasicOStree | sOTerrainOSa | ellite03D | | 00 | 00 - <u>o</u> 0 0 | | ••• | - | |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|------------|--|--|--|--|--------------|--------------|----------------|--|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| 000 | | | loues | | | | | LITING | | | Junctions | 2263 | | | | | | sing 😐 🗧 | | | ŏ | |
| 050 | | Pres | ssure | | ~ | | Ve | elocity | ~ | | Reservoirs | 7 | + 2 | • | | | | | | | 8 | |
| 420 | | | | | | | | | | | Pines | 2486 | esa- | | | le oves | | 5 - 6 | | · · · · · | | |
| 122 | | Max. Pr | essure (j | osi) | | | Max. Ve | elocity (1 | ft/sec) | | Pumps | 32 | € ca+V | | noiave Desert | v 🔍 🔶 | • | <u>e e e</u> | | • | | |
| | | 0.00 | | | | | 0.00 | | | | Valves | 13 | | | Land Trust | ● | | | 0 |) 🧕 | | |
| 424 | | 25.00 | | | | | 0.04 | | | | | | | • | | | | | \otimes | 8 | | |
| 426 | | 25.00 | | | | | 0.01 | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | |
| | | 50.00 | | | | | 0.10 | | | | | | 1 | | | | o Jo Pres | Pollog- | •• | | • | |
| 1428 | | 75.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1430 | | 100.00 | | | | | 2.00 | | | | | | ta Rd | | | j 💿 📸 | | | | | | ĺ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | outen | P | | | | | | | _ | l |
| 432 | | | | | | | | | | | | | Land | Trust | <u> </u> | | P | | | | | l |
| 434 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Š | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | [`` | Natoa Trail | | | • | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | La Minda Trall | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Lamituarrait | ELENDA | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| 1002 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 🕑 🖕 apbox | | | FEND | ÷ | | | • | | |
| 002 | - | | | | | | | (@) | | | | (@ | C papbox | | | | | | _ | Ŷ | | |
| 002 004 | Energy U | sage | | | | | | ۹ | Result Summary | | | e | O wapbox | | | | | | | • | | |
| 002 004 006 | Energy U | sage Usage | Avg. | Kw-hr | Avg. | Peak | Cost | ٩ | Result Summary | Demand (GPM | 0 | 0.00 | Demand (GPM) | Head (ft) | ressure (psi) | Quality | 9 800 | | | <u>+</u> | | |
| 002 004 006 | Energy U: Pump | sage Usage Factor | Avg. Effic. | Kw-hr /Mgal | Avg. Kw | Peak Kw | Cost /day | ۹ | Result Summary Min. Max. M | Demand (GPM Demand (GPM in. Head (ft) | () /) | 0.00 0.00 2766.14 | Demand (GPM) | Head (ft) P | ressure (psi) | Quality | | | | ÷ | | |
| 1002 1004 1006 1008 | Energy U: Pump B-D1-1- 1 | sage Usage Factor 10.97 | Avg. Effic. 70.00 | Kw-hr /Mgal | Avg. Kw 21.49 | Peak Kw 21.55 | Cost /day 0.00 | ٩ | Result Summary Min. 1 Max. 1 M | Demand (GPM Demand (GPM in. Head (ft) ax. Head (ft) | δ) δ) | 0.00 0.00 2766.14 2766.14 | Demand (GPM) | Head (ft) P | ressure (psi) | Quality | n J1426 Pressu | ure (psi) | | • | | |
| 002 1004 006 008 014 | Energy U: Pump B-D1-1- 1 B-D1-1- | Sage Usage Factor 10.97 6.50 | Avg. Effic. 70.00 | Kw-hr /Mgal 1021.95 1060.27 | Avg. Kw 21.49 21.54 | Peak Kw 21.55 21.55 | Cost /day 0.00 | ٩ | Result Summary Min. I Max. I M. Min. | Demand (GPM Demand (GPM in. Head (ft) ax. Head (ft) Pressure (psi) | ()) | 0.00 0.00 2766.14 2766.14 125.50 | Demand (GPM) | Head (ft) | ressure (psi) | Quality | in J1426 Pressu | ure (psi) | | • | | |
| 1002 1004 1006 008 014 | Energy U Pump B-D1-1- 1 B-D1-1- 2 | sage Usage Factor 10.97 6.50 | Avg. Effic. 70.00 | Kw-hr /Mgal 1021.95 1060.27 | Avg. Kw 21.49 21.54 | Peak Kw 21.55 21.55 | Cost /day 0.00 | ۲ | Result Summary Min. I Max. I Min. Min. Max. | Demand (GPM Demand (GPM in. Head (ft) ax. Head (ft) Pressure (psi) . Pressure (psi) | Λ) Δ) Δ) Δ) | 0.00 0.00 2766.14 2766.14 125.50 125.50 | Demand (GPM) | Head (ft) P | ressure (psi) | Quality | n J1426 Pressu | ure (psi) | | • | | |
| 002 004 006 008 014 016 | Energy U: Pump B-D1-1- 1 B-D1-1- 2 B-D2-1- 1 | Usage Factor 10.97 6.50 28.32 | Avg. Effic. 70.00 70.00 | Kw-hr /Mgal 1021.95 1060.27 904.08 | Avg. Kw 21.49 21.54 18.00 | Peak Kw 21.55 21.55 | Cost /day 0.00 0.00 | ٢ | Result Summary Min. Max. M M. Min. Max. | Demand (GPM Demand (GPM in. Head (ft) ax. Head (ft) Pressure (psi) Pressure (psi) | Λ) Λ)) | 0.00 0.00 2766.14 2766.14 125.50 125.50 | Demand (GPM) | Head (ft) P | ressure (psi) | Quality | n J1426 Pressu | ure (psi) | | • | | |
| 1002 1004 1006 1008 1014 1016 1020 | Energy U: Pump B-D1-1- 1 B-D1-1- 2 B-D2-1- 1 B-D2-1- | sage Usage Factor 10.97 6.50 28.32 8.06 | Avg. Effic. 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 | Kw-hr /Mgal 1021.95 1060.27 904.08 845.48 | Avg. Kw 21.49 21.54 18.00 22.17 | Peak Kw 21.55 21.55 22.43 | Cost /day 0.00 0.00 0.00 | ٢ | Result Summary Min. Max. M Min. Max. | Demand (GPM Demand (GPM in. Head (ft) ax. Head (ft) Pressure (psi) . Pressure (psi) | 4) /)) | 0.00 0.00 2766.14 2766.14 125.50 125.50 | Demand (GPM) | Head (ft) P | ressure (psi) | Quality | n J1426 Pressu | ure (psi) | ***** | • | | |
| 1002 1004 1006 1008 1014 1016 1020 | Energy U: Pump B-D1-1- 1 B-D1-1- 2 B-D2-1- 1 B-D2-1- 2 | Sage Usage Factor 10.97 6.50 28.32 8.06 | Avg. Effic. 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 | Kw-hr /Mgal 1021.95 1060.27 904.08 845.48 | Avg. Kw 21.49 21.54 18.00 22.17 | Peak Kw 21.55 21.55 22.46 22.43 | Cost /day 0.00 0.00 0.00 | | Result Summary Min. 1 Max. 1 Min. Min. Max. | Demand (GPM Demand (GPM in. Head (ft) ax. Head (ft) Pressure (psi) Pressure (psi) | ())) | 0.00 0.00 2766.14 2766.14 125.50 125.50 | Demand (GPM) | Head (ft) P | ressure (psi) | Quality | n J1426 Pressu | ure (psi) | ***** | • | ***** | |
| 1002 1004 1006 1008 1014 1016 1020 | Energy U: Pump B-D1-1- 1 B-D1-1- 2 B-D2-1- 1 B-D2-1- 2 B-D2-1- 2 | Sage Usage Factor 10.97 6.50 2.8.32 8.06 3.80 | Avg. Effic. 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 | Kw-hr /Mgal 1021.95 1060.27 904.08 845.48 1033.77 | Avg. Kw 21.49 21.54 18.00 22.17 14.06 | Peak Kw 21.55 21.55 22.46 22.43 35.22 | Cost /day 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | ٢ | Result Summary Min. I Max. I Min. Min. Max. | Demand (GPM Demand (GPM in. Head (ft) ax. Head (ft) Pressure (psi) Pressure (psi) | 4) /))) | 0.00 0.00 2766.14 2766.14 125.50 125.50 | Demand (GPM) | Head (ft) P | ressure (psi) | Quality | n J1426 Pressu | ure (psi) | **** | • | | |
| 1002 1004 1006 1008 1014 1016 1020 1028 | Energy U Pump B-D1-1- 1 B-D1-1- 2 B-D2-1- 1 B-D2-1- 2 B-D2-1- 2 B-D2-1- 3 R-D3-1- | Sage Usage Factor 10.97 6.50 28.32 8.06 3.80 2.66 | Avg. Effic. 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 | Kw-hr /Mgal 1021.95 1060.27 904.08 845.48 1033.77 499.17 | Avg. Kw 21.49 21.54 18.00 22.17 14.06 4.62 | Peak Kw 21.55 21.55 22.46 22.43 35.22 4.75 | Cost /day 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | | Result Summary Min. 1 Max. 1 M Min. Max. | Demand (GPM in. Head (ft) ax. Head (ft) Pressure (psi) Pressure (psi) | Λ) Λ))) | 0.00 0.00 2766.14 2766.14 125.50 125.50 | Demand (GPM) | Head (ft) P | ressure (psi) | Quality | n J1426 Pressu | ure (psi) | | • | ***** | |
| 002 004 006 008 014 016 020 028 030 | Energy U Pump B-D1-1- 1 B-D1-1- 2 B-D2-1- 2 B-D2-1- 3 B-D2-1- 3 B-D3-1- 1 | Sage Usage Factor 10.97 6.50 28.32 8.06 3.80 2.66 | Avg. Effic. 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 | Kw-hr /Mgal 1021.95 1060.27 904.08 845.48 1033.77 499.17 | Avg. Kw 21.49 21.54 18.00 22.17 14.06 4.62 | Peak Kw 21.55 21.55 22.46 22.43 35.22 4.75 | Cost /day 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | | Result Summary Min. I Max. I Min. Min. Max | Demand (GPM Demand (GPM in. Head (ft) ax. Head (ft) Pressure (psi) . Pressure (psi) | Λ) Λ))) | 0.00 0.00 2766.14 2766.14 125.50 125.50 | Demand (GPM) | Head (ft) P | ressure (psi) | PERD of a second | n J1426 Pressu | ure (psi) | | • | | |
| 002 004 006 018 014 016 020 028 030 | Energy U Pump B-D1-1- 1 B-D1-1- 2 B-D2-1- 2 B-D2-1- 3 B-D2-1- 3 B-D3-1- 1 B-D3-1- | Stage Usage Factor 10.97 6.50 28.32 8.06 3.80 2.66 2.66 | Avg. Effic. 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 | Kw-hr /Mgal 1021.95 1060.27 904.08 845.48 1033.77 499.17 499.23 | Avg. Kw 21.49 21.54 18.00 22.17 14.06 4.62 4.62 | Peak Kw 21.55 21.55 22.46 22.43 35.22 4.75 4.75 | Cost /day 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | | Result Summary Min. I Max. Min. Min. Max | Demand (GPM Demand (GPM in. Head (ft) ax. Head (ft) Pressure (psi) Pressure (psi) | Λ) Λ) Ο <li< td=""><td>0.00 0.00 2766.14 2766.14 125.50 125.50</td><td>Demand (GPM)</td><td>Head (ft) P</td><td>ressure (psi)</td><td>Quality</td><td>n J1426 Pressu</td><td>ure (psi)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></li<> | 0.00 0.00 2766.14 2766.14 125.50 125.50 | Demand (GPM) | Head (ft) P | ressure (psi) | Quality | n J1426 Pressu | ure (psi) | | | | |
| 002 004 006 008 014 016 020 028 030 032 032 | Energy U: Pump B-D1-1- 1 B-D1-1- 2 B-D2-1- 1 B-D2-1- 2 B-D2-1- 3 B-D3-1- 1 B-D3-1- 2 P E1=1.4 | Stage Usage Factor 10.97 6.50 28.32 8.06 3.80 2.66 2.66 | Avg. Effic. 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 | Kw-hr /Mgal 1021.95 1060.27 904.08 845.48 1033.77 499.17 499.23 1140.71 | Avg. Kw 21.49 21.54 18.00 22.17 14.06 4.62 4.62 | Peak Kw 21:55 21:55 22:46 22:43 35:22 4:75 4:75 | Cost //dy 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | | Result Summary Min. Max. M Min. Max. | Demand (GPM Demand (GPM in. Head (ft) ax. Head (ft) Pressure (psi) Pressure (psi) | Λ) Λ) Ο <li< td=""><td>0.00 0.00 2766.14 2766.14 125.50 125.50</td><td>Demand (GPM)</td><td>Head (ft) P</td><td></td><td>Quality Quality Quality Quality Quality Quality Quality Quality</td><td>n J1426 Pressu</td><td>ure (psi)</td><td>A CONTRACTOR</td><td>• •</td><td>a de la de l</td><td></td></li<> | 0.00 0.00 2766.14 2766.14 125.50 125.50 | Demand (GPM) | Head (ft) P | | Quality Quality Quality Quality Quality Quality Quality Quality | n J1426 Pressu | ure (psi) | A CONTRACTOR | • • | a de la de l | |
| 1002 1004 1006 1008 1014 1016 1020 1028 1030 1032 | Energy U: Pump B-D1-1- 1 B-D1-1- 2 B-D2-1- 1 B-D2-1- 2 B-D2-1- 3 B-D3-1- 1 B-D3-1- 2 B-E1-1-11 B-F1-1-2 | Stage Usage Factor 10.97 6.50 28.32 8.06 3.80 2.66 2.66 12.65 6.32 | Avg. Effic. 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 | Kw-hr /Mgal 1021.95 1060.27 904.08 845.48 1033.77 499.17 499.23 1140.71 1136.57 | Avg. Kw 21.49 21.54 18.00 22.17 14.06 4.62 4.62 17.08 12.12 | Peak Kw 21:55 21:55 22:46 22:43 35:22 4.75 17:42 17:42 | Cost //dy 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | | Result Summary Min. Max. Min. Min. Max. | Demand (GPM Demand (GPM in. Head (ft) ax. Head (ft) Pressure (psi) Pressure (psi) | Λ) Λ) Ο Ο Ο | 0.00 0.00 2766.14 2766.14 125.50 125.50 | Demand (GPM) | Head (ft) P | Persoure (psi) | PERDONAL STREET | n J1426 Pressu | ure (psi) | a transfer to the second | • • • • • • • • | | |
| 01002 01004 01006 01008 01014 01016 0020 0028 0030 0032 0034 0034 | Energy U: Pump B-D1-1- 1 B-D1-1- 2 B-D2-1- 1 B-D2-1- 2 B-D2-1- 3 B-D3-1- 2 B-E1-1-1 B-E1-1-12 B-E1-1-2 B-E1-1-2 | Stage Usage Factor 10.97 6.50 28.32 8.06 3.80 2.66 2.66 12.65 6.32 0.00 | Avg. Effic. 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 70.00 | Kw-hr /Mgal 1021.95 1060.27 904.08 845.48 1033.77 499.17 499.17 499.23 1140.71 1136.57 0.00 | Avg. Kw 21.49 21.54 18.00 22.17 14.06 4.62 4.62 17.08 17.12 0,00 | Peak Kw 21:55 21:55 22:46 22:43 35:22 4.75 17:42 0,000 | Cost /day 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | | Result Summary Min. Max. M Min. Max. | Demand (GPM Demand (GPM in. Head (ft) ax. Head (ft) Pressure (psi) Pressure (psi) | Λ) Δ) Δ) Δ) Δ) Δ) Δ) | 0.00 0.00 2766.14 2766.14 125.50 125.50 | Demand (GPM) | Head (ft) P | | PERDONAL STREET | n J1426 Pressu | ure (psi) | S 12000 BAL | • • • • • • • | | |

合

0

DIGITAL TWIN Model Reduces Non-Revenue Water (NRW) using GeoViewer



- GeoViewer pressure and flow monitoring tools monitors system activity.
- GeoViewer provides real-time data to be collected in throughout the water network, allowing demand-driven management.
- GeoViewer's meter replacement program helps utilities replace faulty meters efficiently to facilitate accurate tracking of Nonrevenue water.
- GeoViewer work order and service order tools allow faster repair of main line breaks
- GeoViewer allows utilities to log water production and supply data and calculate water loss at the end of the fiscal or calendar year.
- Predict the NRW using GeoViewer machine learning tools.

Asset Management Cycle

Utilities will use the GeoViewer Platform to accomplish these key activities



1) Create / Update a utility's mapping system (GIS)

> 5) Plan Capital Improvement Projects - Remove / Replace / Improve Asset Performance, then start the Asset Management Cycle again

2) Monitor realtime water levels, pressures and other conditions

> 3) Create DIGITAL TWIN -> then forecast Demand, Pressures, Flow

4) Asset Management -Combine DIGITAL TWIN AI and Operational Maintenance records to create Business Risk of Failure Models



COVID Mitigation - Staff Safety & Operational Performance

- It is critical in today's pandemic that we provide water company staff as much contactless options as possible. This includes:
 - Meter Reading using mobile contactless app on smartphone
 - Electronic disbursement of bill
 - Less interactions with tellers at cash counters by providing electronic payment options
 - Public app that allows customers to submit issues
 - Paperless forms to generate work orders
 - SCADA monitoring of the water system

Tailored Customer Service

- Citizens can submit issues through a Public App and report leaks, meter issues, etc.
- Water Company can submit potential disruptions and get customer feedback through the App too







System Deployment

- Cloud Hosted Software as a Service (SaaS) subscription model
- Hosted on Amazon Web Services (AWS) secure servers
- Using GeoViewer, our proprietary trademarked software to deploy the data online, on the desktop and mobile, out on the field
- No maintenance, such as GIS staff, servers and software

CUSTOMER TESTIMONIALS - Philippines

Teresa Water District - Rizal, Philippines

General Manager - Marnelle Reyes

High non-revenue water, lack of documentation of facilities and incoherent billing and collections procedures were a huge problem to TERWD. The GeoViewer Online and GeoViewer Mobile functionality allowed TERWD to have a detailed documentation and asset management capabilities crucial in decision making to address those problems. Nobel Systems made it possible for TERWD to have accessible, accurate and functional data needed in our day-to-day operations

Bogo City Water District

General Manager - Houdini Jumao-As

Having the GeoViewer in our system has provided the district with real-time business insights in order to make more factual decisions in a short span of time because the data is actual. Having Nobel's IoT system that we recently acquired, we found that it helps the district understand the behavior of our key equipment and assets to prevent unintended events. Even for the short period of usage, we were nonetheless given on-time readings and data will be used for short and long-term planning to improve the district's business process.





Why Select Nobel?

Digital Twin - a Proven System - Used at over 70 Nobel locations worldwide

Meets and Exceeds all Challenge Requirements

Improves Operational Efficiency by reducing NRW Loss and preventing Pipe Bursts

Reduces Capital Investment Costs of Asset Maintenance

Improves Staff Safety by going Digital

Smarter decision making

Enhanced communication between the customers and executing agencies

Scalable

Proven - Reliable - Secure - Simple - Cost Effective

Contact Nobel Systems

Nobel Systems, Inc.



MICHAEL SAMUEL - President <u>msamuel@nobel-systems.com</u> Mobile: +1 951 3178941

ARETHA SAMUEL - CTO

arethasamuel@nobel-systems.com

Mobile: +1 909 6776841

GeoNobel, Inc.



GELLA S. ROMERO - BizDev Manager <u>gellaromero@nobel-systems.com</u> Mobile: +63 999 2295657

JUNE R. REYES - Operations Manager junereyes@nobel-systems.com Mobile: +63 999-2295659