## Chemical composition and band bending at Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/GaAs interface formed via *in situ* Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> atomic layer deposition on pristine GaAs

N. Demarina<sup>1</sup>, S. Karimzadah<sup>1</sup>, B. Bennemann<sup>1</sup>, A. R. Jalil<sup>1</sup>, H. Hartmann<sup>2</sup>, B. Kardynal<sup>1</sup>, M. I. Lepsa<sup>1</sup>, D. A. Grützmacher<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Peter Gruenberg Institute, Forschungszentrum Juelich, 52428 Juelich, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Central Institute of Engineering, Electronics, Analytics, Forschungszentrum Juelich, 52428 Juelich, Germany

n.demarina@fz-juelich.de

We report on the x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) study of  $Al_2O_3/GaAs$  interface obtained by *in situ* atomic layer deposition (ALD) of  $Al_2O_3$  on pristine (001) oriented GaAs. The *in situ* approach enables us to benefit from the contamination-free GaAs surface at the beginning of the  $Al_2O_3$  deposition. We are going beyond the scopes of the previous studies [1] and examine the *in situ*  $Al_2O_3$  ALD process in detail, namely varying sequence and number of precursors' pulses at the deposition beginning, deposition temperature, thickness of the deposited  $Al_2O_3$  layer and also the surface termination of the GaAs. The  $Al_2O_3/GaAs$  structures (Fig. 1 (a), inset) are fabri-

cated in a multi-chamber growth system, which includes a Scienta Omicron molecular-beam epitaxy (MBE) chamber and a FlexAL®II ALD system connected via transfer modules. MBE is employed to grow non-intentionally *p*-doped GaAs layer onto a GaAs (001) wafer. We deposit Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> using trimethylaluminium (TMA) as a metal precursor, the oxygen source being deionized water. The characterization of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/GaAs interface is performed *ex situ* by XPS with an Al K  $\alpha$ (1486.6 eV) X-ray source.

High-resolution spectra are taken for arsenic (As 3d and As  $2p_{3/2}$ ), gallium (Ga 3d and Ga  $2p_{3/2}$ ), aluminium (Al 2p) and oxygen (O 1s) using a step size of 0.1 eV and pass energy of 23.5 eV. The inset of Fig. 1 (b) represents the Al 2p spectrum with only one peak assigned to Al-O bond in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and this confirms the successful growth of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on the GaAs surface. The O/Al ratio calculated from the measured Al 2p and O 1s spectra varies from 1.4 to 1.6 and indicates high stoichiometry of the grown oxide. We show



Fig.1. Photoelectron spectra for the As  $2p_{3/2}$  (left) and Ga  $2p_{3/2}$  (right) core-level features after *in situ* deposition of 10 nm Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on GaAs surface at 300 °C. The Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> deposition begins with 1 TMA pulse (standard) (a) and 5 H<sub>2</sub>O pulses (b). Inset: (a) Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/GaAs structure; (b) Photoelectron spectra for the Al  $2p_{5/2}$ .

that in the case of 'standard'  $Al_2O_3$  deposition (Fig. 1 (a)) on the As-terminated GaAs surface, which begins with a TMA pulse, followed by a water pulse, the  $Al_2O_3/GaAs$  interface is free from high-valence arsenic ( $As_2O_3$  and  $As_2O_5$ ) and gallium ( $Ga_2O_3$ ) oxides if the  $Al_2O_3$  layer has a thickness of 5 nm and more. However, we reveal formation of low-valence arsenic oxides ( $As^{2+}$  and  $As^{1+}$  oxidation states (peak As-Y in Fig. 1 (a))). The  $Al_2O_3$ deposition performed on the Ga-terminated GaAs surface and started with 5H<sub>2</sub>O pulses leads to the formation of a completely Ga/As-oxide-free  $Al_2O_3/GaAs$  interface (Fig. 1(b)). Analysis of the XPS data shows that the band bending in GaAs at the  $Al_2O_3/GaAs$  interface is reduced by 0.3 eV compared to the bending in the case of not passivated GaAs surface. This indicates the reduction of the interface states density, i.e. unpinned state of the Fermi level at the *in situ*-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/GaAs interface. Photoluminescence measurements of  $Al_2O_3/GaAs$  structures confirm the reduced density of the interface states. Our study delivers criteria for high-quality passivation of GaAs surface.

## References

[1] T. W. Pi et al., Nanotechnology 26, 164001 (2015).