

The Indirect Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Caesarean Section Rates in First Time Mothers in Melbourne

Goldsack AJ, Marzan MB, McCarthy EA, Potenza S, Rolnik DL, Pritchard N, Said JM, Palmer KR, Whitehead CL, Sheehan PM, Ford J, Mol BW, Walker SP, Hui L.



Introduction

Tables of Results

- Melbourne during the COVID-19 pandemic was an opportunity to investigate the indirect effects of lockdown on perinatal care.¹
- Safely constraining CS rates is important to minimise short-term complications and reduce risks for later childbirth. This is particularly important for first time mothers (nulliparous women).

Aim

• To compare CS rates in nulliparous women in lockdown-exposed and pre-lockdown (control) cohorts in metropolitan Melbourne.

Methods

- A retrospective study including births ≥ 24 weeks from all 12 Melbourne public maternity hospitals from Jan 2018 to Mar 2021.
- Pregnancies with a last menstrual period (LMP) from 4 Nov 2019 to 1 Jun 2020 inclusive formed the lockdown-exposed cohort.¹
- Pregnancies with LMPs from 5 Nov 2018 to 3 Jun 2019 and 6 Nov 2017 to 4 Jun 2018 inclusive formed the control cohort.¹
- Robson groups² 1 and 2 formed the primary groups of interest
 - Group 1 term, cephalic, singleton, nulliparas following spontaneous onset of labour.
 - Group 2A term, cephalic, singleton, nulliparas following induction of labour.
 - Group 2B term, cephalic, singleton, nulliparas delivering prior to the onset of labour.
- The four primary outcomes are defined in Table 1.
- χ^2 test for proportions was used; significant p-value defined as <0.05.

References

- Hui L, Marzan MB, Potenza S, *et al.* Collaborative maternity and newborn dashboard (CoMaND) for the COVID-19 pandemic: a protocol for timely, adaptive monitoring of perinatal outcomes in Melbourne, Australia. BMJ Open 2021;11:e055902.
- 2. World Health Organisation. Robson Classification: Implementation Manual. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2017.

Table 1. Primary outcome definitions				
Primary outcome	Numerator	Denominator		
Outcome 1	n of women in a Robson group	Total N pregnancies		
Outcome 2	n of CS in a Robson group	Total N pregnancies in a Robson group		
Outcome 3	n of CS in a Robson group	Total N pregnancies		
Outcome 4	n of CS in a Robson group	Total N pregnancies delivered by CS		
25.262		1 1 1 1 1		

• 25,362 births were included in the lockdown-exposed cohort and 50,720 births were included in the control cohort. (Table 2)

Table 2. Caesarean section Robson classification report table

		-	
Primary outcomes	Lockdown	Control	P value
	Exposed		
Number of pregnancies	25,362	50,720	
Number of CS births	9,162	17,277	
Overall CS rate (%)	36.13	34.06	0.013
Outcome 1: Robson group size (%	%)		
Robson 1	15.86	15.94	0.76
Robson 2A	20.23	20.43	0.529
Robson 2B	2.28	1.82	< 0.00001
Outcome 2: Robson group CS rat	te (%)		
Robson 1	17.11	16.5	0.396
Robson 2A	38.8	35.88	< 0.00001
Robson 2B	99.65	99.89	0.316
Outcome 3: Absolute contributio	n to overall CS rate (%)		
Robson 1	2.71	2.63	0.504
Robson 2A	7.85	7.33	0.01
Robson 2B	2.28	1.82	< 0.00001
Outcome 4: Relative contributior	n to overall CS rate (%)		
Robson 1	7.51	7.72	0.537
Robson 2A	21.73	21.52	0.691
Robson 2B	6.3	5.35	0.001

• The CS rate for all pregnancies was significantly higher in the lockdown-exposed group compared to the control group (36.13% vs 34.06%, p=0.013).

Results

- The CS rate after SOL for nulliparas (Robson 1) was not significantly different between the lockdown-exposed and control groups (17.11% vs 16.50%, p=0.40).
- The CS rate after IOL for nulliparas (Robson 2A) was significantly higher in the lockdown-exposed group compared to the control group (38.8% vs 35.88%, p<0.00001).
- Prelabour CS for nulliparas (Robson 2B) formed a greater proportion of total CS during lockdown-exposed group compared to the control group (6.3% vs 5.35%, p=0.001).

Conclusion

- The overall CS rate in the lockdown-exposed group was significantly increased compared with the control group.
- Lockdown exposure was not associated with an increase in CS among first time mothers following spontaneous onset of labour.
- In the context of a rising CS rates before the onset of the pandemic, it is unclear if the increases in pre-labour CS and CS after IOL among nulliparous women are directly associated with the pandemic or the continuation of a long term trend.
- Further research priorities include:
 - Conducting a time-series analysis to account for pre-existing trend to rising CS rates.
 - Qualitative research into maternal and clinician decision making behaviours during lockdown.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the Norman Beischer Medical Research Foundation and the University of Melbourne Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. Thank you to participating health services for their contributions; Mercy Health. The Royal Women's Hospital, The Women's Sandringham, Monash Health, Northern Health, Western Health, Eastern Health and Peninsula Health.