

BETTER TRANSPORT • BETTER PLACES • BETTER CHOICES

# Modelling and valuing land use change

**Peter Nunns** 

Engineering NZ - Transportation Group conference Wellington, March 2019



#### What are we doing?





http://www.suekedgley.com/article/let%E2%80%99s-avoid-auckland-paradox





https://www.greaterauckland.org.nz/2017/08/31/rail-reaches-20-million-trips/





http://www.thewellingtoncompany.co.nz/projects/sponsorships/cubadupa/



#### In the long run, transport is land use



#### Roman roads, 2000 years later



Dalgaard, C. J., Kaarsen, N., Olsson, O., & Selaya, P. (2018). *Roman Roads to Prosperity: Persistence and Non-Persistence of Public Goods Provision*. University of Gothenburg Department of Economics Working Paper No 722.



















#### **Bridges to somewhere**



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Auckland\_Harbour\_Bridge#/media/File:Auckland\_Harbour\_Bridge\_Under\_Construction.jpg







#### **Some econometrics**

| Model                      | Population density model    |          | Employment density model |           |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Outcome variable           | In(2016 population density) |          | In(2016 job density)     |           |
| Estimator                  | OLS                         | IV       | OLS                      | IV        |
| Explanatory variables      |                             |          |                          |           |
| In(effective job density)  | 0.184                       | 2.012*** | 1.924***                 | 1.552***  |
| In(distance to CBD)        | -0.682***                   | -0.129   | -0.283***                | -0.396*** |
| Elevation of zone centroid | -0.006***                   | -0.002   | -0.002*                  | -0.003**  |
| Constant                   | 7.383**                     | -15.5*   | -0.139***                | -9.3*     |

Statistical significance: \*\*\* p-value<0.001 \*\* p-value<0.01 \* p-value<0.05

#### **Some econometrics**

| Model                      | Population density model    |          | Employment density model |           |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Outcome variable           | In(2016 population density) |          | In(2016 job density)     |           |
| Estimator                  | OLS                         | IV       | OLS                      | IV        |
| Explanatory variables      |                             |          |                          |           |
| In(effective job density)  | 0.184                       | 2.012*** | 1.924***                 | 1.552***  |
| In(distance to CBD)        | -0.682***                   | -0.129   | -0.283***                | -0.396*** |
| Elevation of zone centroid | -0.006***                   | -0.002   | -0.002*                  | -0.003**  |
| Constant                   | 7.383**                     | -15.5*   | -0.139***                | -9.3*     |

Statistical significance: \*\*\* p-value<0.001 \*\* p-value<0.01 \* p-value<0.05

#### **Two modelling approaches**

- **1. Accessibility-density model:** Estimates how transport access influences local population / employment density
- 2. Location choice model: Estimates how faster journey times affect commuting flows between locations



#### Predicted change in distribution of population growth





#### Predicted change in distribution of population growth



#### **MRC**

#### Predicted change in distribution of job growth



#### **MRC**

#### Predicted change in distribution of job growth





#### Predicted change in distribution of population growth





#### Predicted change in distribution of population growth





#### Predicted change in distribution of job growth





#### Predicted change in distribution of job growth





#### What is land use change worth?



### City centre wage premia





Source: Based on analysis of aggregated Census data on incomes by place of work and industry. Comparisons control for industry composition but not worker characteristics



### City centre wage premia





Source: Based on analysis of aggregated Census data on incomes by place of work and industry. Comparisons control for industry composition but not worker characteristics



#### **External costs of urban development**



Source: Nunns and Denne (2016). External cost estimates have been derived using a mix of methods.



#### **External costs of urban development**



Source: Nunns and Denne (2016). External cost estimates have been derived using a mix of methods.



#### **External costs of urban development**



Source: Nunns and Denne (2016). External cost estimates have been derived using a mix of methods.



#### Wait, what about housing?













Location





Source: https://www.transport.govt.nz/land/auckland/atap/





Source: https://www.transport.govt.nz/land/auckland/atap/



### **Spatial equilibrium?**

Copyrighted Matterial THE LINDAHL LECTURES

Cities, Agglomeration and Spatial Equilibrium

EDWARD L. GLAESER





### **Spatial equilibrium?**

Copyrighted Matterial

Cities, Agglomeration and Spatial Equilibrium

EDWARD L. GLAESER







# **Spatial equilibrium?**

Capyrighted Material THE LINDAHL LECTURES

Cities, Agglomeration and Spatial Equilibrium

EDWARD L. GLAESER









1. Don't consign land use change modelling to the 'too hard' basket



- 1. Don't consign land use change modelling to the 'too hard' basket
- 2. Some of the impacts of land use change are easy to value (at least in principle)



- 1. Don't consign land use change modelling to the 'too hard' basket
- 2. Some of the impacts of land use change are easy to value (at least in principle)
- 3. We don't know how to credibly value impacts on housing development

