# **Transforming Breast Cancer Screening with AI**

# **Dr Helen Frazer**

State-wide Clinical Director - BreastScreen Victoria Clinical Director - St Vincent's BreastScreen Lead Investigator - BRAIx program

15 March 2024





BRAIx progress with foundational development of know-how and capabilities

Developing a roadmap for deployment

Considerations for a national approach

#### Summary of Progress

- Developed globally unique AI dataset and digital twin Value is in the data
- Demonstrated benefits retrospectively Likely first integration is second reader replacement
- Demonstrated AI reader result combined with other data is a better predictor of future risk than current measures - AI reader will also enable the basis for future risk communications and personalisation
- Preparing RCT with AI reader as a second reader replacement in BSV and BSSA with significant ongoing stakeholder engagement Protocol recently received HREC approval
- Developing a roadmap for deployment that incorporates vendor engagement and assessment, quality management and future personalisation

 BRAIx is a key Al program of BSV and partners supported by MRFF and philanthropic grants

 SVICENT'S ST VINCENT'S HOSPITAL WITH DESEADOR

 MEDICAL DESEADOR

### How do we take the next step change in mortality?



- Address modifiable causes (better prevention)
- Increase participation, reducing intervals (better screening)
- Improve survival (better treatments)

Source: https://www.canceraustralia.gov.au/cancer-types/breast-cancer/statistics , accessed 8 September 2023

## Screening has challenges with a "one size fits all" approach



	ACCURACY	EXPERIENCE	COST
CHALLENGES	False positives (unnecessary assessment)	Flat participation	High Cost
	False negatives (Interval cancers)	Service Level	Workforce availability







7 cancers are detected (true positives)



7 cancers are detected (true positives)

1.8 cancers diagnosed in interval (false negatives)



7 cancers are detected (true positives)

1.8 cancers diagnosed in interval (false negatives)

40 women are falsely recalled (false positives)

# BRAIx is developing three critical capabilities for translation

# Capabilities for multi modal data development and management

- Establishing high quality ground truths
- Establishing dataset size and diversity to underpin generalisability

Dataset development Algorithm testing

Capabilities for algorithm development, training and testing

- Testing of standalone performance
- Retrospective screening system simulation
- Classification and risk score

Human-Al integration

Capabilities for prospective study and ongoing quality assurance, human in control

- RCT and Quality Management
- Explainability, ethics and client/clinician engagement

# Dataset development – globally unique

#### DATA DEVELOPMENT

We have built a globally unique dataset for AI development with 6.2 million+ images from almost 1.5-million screening episodes and 692,637 women during 2013-2021

- 29,363 screen detected cancer images
- 7,463 interval cancer images

Complete sequential 2016-2021 population data

Helen M. L. Frazer, et.al.. ADMANI: Annotated Digital Mammograms and Associated Non-Image Datasets. (2022) Radiology AI, RSNA, Published Online Dec 21, 2022.



#### DATA ELEMENTS

- Includes over 200 data elements for every screening episode (e.g. family history, HRT, age, histopathology, reader outcomes)
- Radiologist labelled image annotations localise lesions

#### STRONG GROUND TRUTHS

- Surgical histopathology confirms cancer outcome
- Interval history confirms all clear outcome

### Algorithm testing – standalone results



The AI Reader applied retrospectively to our randomly sampled 2016-2019 testing dataset (149,105 episodes) demonstrated:

- AUROC = 0.93
- Al reader above weighted mean individual reader (95.6% specificity, 66.7% sensitivity)
- AI reader below current reader consensus system (96.1% specificity, 79.8% sensitivity)

	Reader consensus	0	Weighted mean individual reader
D	Individual radiologists	-	Al reader ROC - AUC 0.932 (0.923, 0.940)

# Algorithm testing – screening pathway integration scenarios

#### A Current reader system



B Reader-replacement



C Band-pass



### Algorithm testing – screening pathway integration results



Both AI reader-replacement and AI band-pass improved performance over the human reader consensus

- Al reader-replacement (96.3% specificity, 82.3% sensitivity)
- Al band-pass (96.6%, 81.7%);
- Current reader consensus system (96.1% specificity, 79.8% sensitivity)

Human reading workload was significantly reduced

- Al reader-replacement by 48%
- Al band-pass by 81%
- Reader consensus
   Weighted mean individual reader
   Al reader-replacement
   Al band-pass

### Algorithm testing – interval cancers



decile AI reader score

Al reader was tested on external datasets – not used in any training and/or from different populations and manufacturers

ADMANI Prospective – 6 months of prospectively collected Victorian screening data from 2021-2022

CSAW-CC (Cohort of Screen Aged Women – Case Control) – population screening dataset from Sweden Hologic machines

CMMD (Chinese Mammography Database) – Cancer enriched dataset from China

BREAST (BreastScreen Reader Assessment Strategy) – Australian testing and training datasets for clinicians

All datasets demonstrated high performance indicative of good generalisability

Dataset	Episodes			AUC	BRAIx
ADMANI	Normal	24990	Breast	ROC	$0.976 \ (0.962, \ 0.989)$
	Benign	663		PR	0.667 (0.601, 0.725)
Prospective	Screen-detected	195	Episode	ROC	0.970(0.953, 0.983)
	Interval	0		$\mathbf{PR}$	0.670 ( $0.605$ , $0.728$ )
	Normal	22868	Breast	ROC	0.994 (0.990, 0.997)
CRAW COL	Benign	0		PR	0.860 (0.832, 0.886)
CSAW-CC-	Screen-detected	524	Detecto	ROC	0.993 (0.991, 0.995)
	Interval	0	Episode	$\mathbf{PR}$	0.889 ( $0.867$ , $0.909$ )
	Normal	23903	Breast	ROC	0.943 (0.933, 0.953)
CRAW CC	Benign	0		$\mathbf{PR}$	0.651 (0.617, 0.682)
CSAW-CC	Screen-detected	524	Episode	ROC	0.934 ( $0.923$ , $0.944$ )
	Interval	267		PR	0.685 (0.655, 0.715)
	Normal	0	Breast	ROC	0.906(0.895, 0.918)
CNINID	Benign	465		PR	0.922 (0.911, 0.932)
CMMD	Screen-detected	1310	Episode	ROC	-
	Interval	0		$\mathbf{PR}$	1.1.4
BREAST	Normal	361	Breast	ROC	0.972 (0.960, 0.982)
	Benign	0		PR	$0.910 \ (0.876, \ 0.939)$
	Screen-detected	179	Episode	ROC	0.962(0.947, 0.976)
	Interval	0		$\mathbf{PR}$	0.939 $(0.913, 0.961)$

#### Project 1: July 2020 – June 2024

#### MRFAI000090

**Title:** "Transforming Breast Cancer Screening with Artificial Intelligence" (BRAIx)

**Grant Opportunity:** MRFF Applied Artificial Intelligence Research in Health

#### Project 2: July 2023 - June 2027

#### MRF2023336

Title: A Randomised Controlled Trial to Assess if the Implementation of an Artificial Intelligence Mammogram Reader Improves Breast Cancer Screening

**Grant Opportunity**: MRFF Clinical Trials Activity

# **BRAIX AI RCT Project**







# BreastScreen Victoria







- Operating points will be set on representative testing sets for each screening service (BSV and BSSA)
- The factors influencing the choice area:
  - sensitivity vs specificity
  - arbitration rate

Scenario	Sensitivity	Specificity	3 <sup>rd</sup> Reads	Arbitration rate
Baseline	79.8%	96.0%	9,881/149,105	6.6%
Balanced *	82.5%	96.3%	11,002/149,105	7.4%
+ Sensitivity *	83.7%	95.4%	13,306/149,105	8.9%
++ Sensitivity *	85.0%	94.8%	14,681/149,105	9.8%

\* Simulated results on Victorian retrospective test set

# Screen detected cancer annotations (green radiologist, white AI)











# Al interval cancer annotations



# Al interval cancer annotations



# Al interval cancer annotations



# Roadmap to introduce AI now being envisioned

FOUNDATIONS	<ul> <li>BRAIX</li> <li>Develop necessary dataset development, algorithm testing and human-AI integration capabilities;</li> <li>Develop the clinical pathways for integration, risk prediction and personalisation;</li> <li>Engage, educate and earn the trust and advocacy of stakeholders (clients, clinicians, RAS's, government);</li> <li>Achieve policy change and build trust with RCT evidence</li> </ul>
DEPLOYMENT	<ul> <li>Develop operating plan, infrastructure and sourcing approach</li> <li>Engage vendors, conducting retrospective testing/prospective simulation</li> <li>Benchmarking against label and inhouse AI reader outcomes</li> </ul>
QUALITY MANAGEMENT	<ul> <li>Update the NAS to reflect the improved outcomes sought with use of algorithms</li> <li>Establish the quality management operations for effective management of algorithm performance overtime</li> </ul>
PERSONALISATION	<ul> <li>Develop the approach for introduction of new service standards and risk communications</li> <li>Develop and introduce first high risk pathways</li> </ul>

There is now opportunity to coordinate nationally, share knowledge, capture synergies, avoid duplication, and support smaller jurisdictions. Should we:

- Establish a national forum to consider how we might develop BreastScreen data and algorithm capabilities and policy for AI translation and inform the BreastScreen Policy and Funding Review given significant impact AI could have on screening outcomes and economics?
- Consider the scientific evidence required for policy validation and stakeholder advocacy– RCT/prospective cohort studies, subsequent studies of algorithms can follow a path of retrospective evaluation followed by prospective simulation against label, NAS and internal algorithm benchmarks?
- Consider a coordinated approach to partnering with third party vendors given significant value is derived from breast cancer screening data and program screening reference, and considerations to leverage internal capabilities?