COST AND COST-EFFECTIVENESS OF HEPATITIS C VIRUS SELF-TESTING IN FOUR SETTINGS

Walker JG¹, Ivanova E³, Jamil MS², Ong JJ⁴, Easterbrook P², Fajardo E², Johnson CC², Luhmann N², Terris-Prestholt F⁵, Vickerman P¹, Shilton S³

¹ Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK, ² 2. Global HIV, Hepatitis and STI Programmes, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, ³ FIND, Geneva, Switzerland, ⁴London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK, ⁵⁴Joint UN Programme on HIV and AIDS, Geneva, Switzerland

Background:

Only 20% of 58 million people with chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) have been diagnosed. HCV self-testing (HCVST) could reach those who have never been tested and increase access and uptake of HCV testing. We compared cost/HCV diagnosis or cure for HCVST versus facility-based HCV testing.

Methods:

We used a decision analysis model (time horizon one year) to examine drivers of cost/viremic diagnosis or cure of HCVST in China (men who have sex with men), Georgia (men 40-49 years), Viet Nam (people who inject drugs, PWID), Kenya (PWID). HCV antibody (HCVAb) prevalence ranged from 1%-60%. Parameters were informed by HCV testing and treatment programs, HIV self-testing programs, and expert opinion. We assume reactive HCVST is followed by facility-based rapid diagnostic test (fRDT) and then nucleic acid testing (NAT). We assumed HCVST costs of \$5.63/unit (\$0.87-\$21.43 for fRDT), 62% increase in testing, 65% linkage to care, and 10% replacement of fRDT with HCVST, with variation in sensitivity analysis. Outcomes are incremental cost/patient diagnosed or cured (2019 USD\$).

Results:

Cost/HCV diagnosis without HCVST ranged from \$35 (Viet Nam) to \$361 (Kenya). Incremental cost/person diagnosed was \$104 in Viet Nam, \$163 in Georgia, \$587 in Kenya, and \$2,647 in China. Differences were driven by HCVAb prevalence. In sensitivity analyses, reducing the cost of HCVST, increasing uptake of HCVST and linkage rate to facility-based care and NAT testing, or proceeding directly to NAT testing following a positive HCVST, reduced the overall cost per person diagnosed. The incremental cost/cure was lowest in Georgia (\$1,418), with similar outcomes in Viet Nam (\$2,033), and Kenya (\$2,566), and the highest cost in China (\$4,956), driven by differences in DAA treatment costs.

Conclusion:

HCVST increased the number of people tested, diagnosed, and cured, at higher cost. Introducing HCVST is more cost-effective in populations with high prevalence.

Disclosure of Interest Statement:

EI and SJ are current employees of FIND, EF is a former employee of FIND. JGW and PV have received unrestricted research funding from Gilead Sciences unrelated to this research. All authors declare no other conflicts of interest. The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the World Health Organization.