# DRUG CHECKING IN AUSTRALIA: DESIRED SERVICE DESIGN FEATURES AMONG A SAMPLE OF PEOPLE WHO USE HEROIN

Sutherland R<sup>1</sup>, Steele M<sup>2</sup>, Silins E<sup>3,1</sup>, Gilliver R<sup>3</sup>, Read P<sup>3,4</sup>, Peacock A<sup>1,5</sup>, Barratt M<sup>6,1</sup>, Page R<sup>2,1</sup>, Bruno R<sup>5,1</sup>, Rodgers C<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre, UNSW Sydney, Sydney, Australia; <sup>2</sup>St Vincent's Hospital, Sydney, Australia; <sup>3</sup>Kirketon Road Centre, South Eastern Sydney Local Health District, Sydney, Australia; <sup>4</sup>Kirby Institute, UNSW Sydney, Sydney, Australia; <sup>5</sup>School of Psychological Sciences, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Australia; <sup>6</sup>Social and Global Studies Centre and Digital Ethnography Research Centre, RMIT University, Melbourne, Australia

Presenter's details:

NDARC
University of New South Wales
Sydney NSW 2052, Australia
0416779889
rachels@unsw.edu.au

## **Background:**

To-date, the drug checking debate in Australia has mainly focused on 'festival' settings, with people who inject drugs and/or use heroin largely excluded from the conversation. This paper aims to examine support for drug checking among a sample of people who use heroin, and to identify desired service design features.

### Methods:

Survey of 72 people who had used heroin in the past six months, recruited from Kirketon Road Centre and Rankin Court, Sydney, Australia.

#### Results:

The vast majority (93%; n=67) of participants reported that they would like to be able to access a drug checking service to have their drugs tested, with almost all these participants (97%; n=65) reporting that it would be 'acceptable' to give up a pinhead's worth of their drugs for testing. Among those who wanted to be able to access a drug checking service, 99% reported that they would like to have their drugs tested for purity, and 93% for contents (psychoactive and non-psychoactive). The preferred locations for a drug checking service were supervised injecting centers (73%), and needle and syringe programs (53%). The length of time that people were willing to wait to receive the results varied, although most (66%) reported they would be willing to wait between 1-29 minutes. Interestingly, most participants had no concerns about drug checking (60%), although 37% did report concern about potentially being targeted by police. Almost all participants (99%) reported that they would like to receive drug alerts, with SMS (76%) and in-person (when attending a health service; 34%) being the preferred modes of communication.

# **Conclusion:**

People who inject drugs and/or use heroin desire objective information about the contents and/or purity of their drugs. It is essential that this population are included in the drug checking conversation, with consideration given to their desired service design features.

#### **Disclosure of Interest Statement:**

AP has received untied educational grants from Seqirus and Mundipharma. RS has received untied educational grants from Seqirus. RB has received untied educational grants from Mundipharma and

Indivior. PR has received research funding from Gilead Sciences, as well as institutional and individual honoraria from Gilead Sciences, Abbvie and MSD. Funding from these organisations has now ceased, funding was for work unrelated to this project, and the funding bodies had no role in study design, analysis and reporting. No pharmaceutical grants were received for this study.