Mpox knowledge, vaccination and intention to reduce sexual risk practices among men who have sex with men and transgender people: a cross-sectional study in Victoria, Australia.

Authors:

Chow E P F^{1,2,3}, Samra R S¹, Bradshaw C S^{1,2,3}, Chen M Y^{1,2}, Williamson D A^{4,5,6}, Towns J M^{1,2}, Maddaford K^{1,2}, <u>Mercury F¹</u>, Fairley C K^{1,2}

¹Melbourne Sexual Health Centre, Alfred Health, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, ²Central Clinical School, Faculty of Medicine, Nursing and Health Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, ³Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia,⁴Victorian Infectious Diseases Reference Laboratory, The Royal Melbourne Hospital at The Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, ⁵Department of Infectious Diseases, The University of Melbourne at the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, ⁶Walter and Eliza Hall Institute, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Background:

The first mpox case was reported in May 2022 in Australia. Most cases have been diagnosed in men who have sex with men (MSM). This study aimed to examine community understanding of mpox, attitudes towards vaccination, and potential changes in sexual practices surrounding the mpox outbreak among MSM and transgender people in Victoria, Australia.

Methods:

Participants were recruited from sexual health clinics and communities in Victoria, Australia, in August-October 2022. Participants were asked about their understanding and knowledge of mpox, vaccination uptake and intentions to change sexual practices. Univariable and multivariable logistic regression was performed to examine the factors associated with mpox vaccine uptake.

Results:

Most participants (97.8%, 525/537) had heard about mpox and 10.5% (55/525) knew someone who had had mpox. Of the 12 mpox knowledge questions, the median score of correct answers was 10 (IQR=8-11) out of a maximum of 12. More than a third (36.6%, 191/522) had been vaccinated against mpox. MSM who had an STI diagnosis (aOR=1.72; 95% CI: 1.09-2.70), and attended sex on premises venues (aOR=1.66; 95% CI: 1.05-2.62) in the past 12 months had higher odds of receiving mpox vaccine. To prevent mpox, half reported they would reduce having sex with casual partners, stop having chemsex, stop attending SOPV, and stop having group sex. A quarter reported they would increase condom use for anal sex. **Conclusions**: One-third of high-risk participants and a substantial proportion of participants intended to reduce or stop certain practices which may explain the large reduction in mpox cases.

Disclosure of interest statement

EPFC is an Associate Editor of Sexual Health, but was blinded from the peer review process for this manuscript. The authors declare no other conflicts.

Acknowledgement of funding:

EPFC and DAW are each supported by an Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Emerging Leadership Investigator Grant (GNT1172873 and GNT1174555, respectively). CKF and CSB are each supported by an Australian NHMRC Leadership Investigator Grant (GNT1172900 and GNT1173361, respectively). JMT and MYC are supported by Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Partner Project Grant (GNT2003399).