

# Long-Term B/F/TAF Switch Efficacy in Patients with **Archived Pre-Existing Resistance**



**Gilead Sciences, Inc.** 333 Lakeside Drive Foster City, CA 94404 Tel: (650) 574-3000 Fax: (650) 578-9264

Andreatta K<sup>1</sup>, Willkom M<sup>1</sup>, Martin R<sup>1</sup>, Chang S<sup>1</sup>, Liu H<sup>1</sup>, Liu Y<sup>1</sup>, Graham H<sup>1</sup>, Martin H<sup>1</sup>, Fagan D<sup>2</sup>, and White KL<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Gilead Sciences, Inc., Foster City, USA; <sup>2</sup>Gilead Sciences, Melbourne, Australia

# Background

- Results
- Bictegravir/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide (B/F/TAF) is approved by the US FDA, Europe EMA and Australia TGA for treatment of HIV-1 infection (treatment-naïve and virologically suppressed without resistance)<sup>1,2</sup>
- B/F/TAF safety, efficacy, and lack of emergent resistance has been demonstrated in controlled clinical trials
- Treatment-naïve adults: 2 Phase 3 studies of 634 participants through 96 weeks<sup>3-6</sup>
- Suppressed switch adults: 4 Phase 3 studies of 1090 participants through 48 weeks7-10
- Suppressed switch adolescents and children: 1 Phase 2/3 study of 100 participants through 48 weeks<sup>11</sup>
- In Studies 1878 and 1844, virologically-suppressed participants switched to B/F/TAF from boosted protease inhibitor (b/PI)- or dolutegravir (DTG)-based triple therapy, completed the 48-week randomization phase, and then continued B/F/TAF in an open label extension phase
- No HIV-1 genotyping was performed at screening; participants with documented resistance to study drugs or prior virologic failures were excluded
- Historical genotypic data were available for 49% of participants; the remaining 51% had no HIV-1 genotyping or resistance data available at study start

#### Table 2. Virologic Outcomes for the Pooled B/F/TAF Group

As of September 15, 2018 the duration of B/F/TAF treatment was median 116 weeks (IQR 108-120 weeks) and 89% of participants completed Week 96

Time of Analysis	Virologic Outcome	Last Available On-treatment HIV-1 RNA	Proportion of Participants, % (n/N) <sup>a</sup>
Week 19	Success	<50 c/mL	98.4% (561/570)
VVEEK 40	Failure	≥50 c/mL	1.6% (9/570) <sup>b,c</sup>
September	Success	<50 c/mL	98.4% (561/570)
15, 2018	Failure	≥50 c/mL	1.6% (9/570) <sup>b,d</sup>

a. 2 randomized and treated participants had no post-baseline visits and were excluded from analysis b. 7 participants discontinued at or before Week 48 with HIV-1 RNA ≥50 c/mL and are failures in both analysis sets

- 3 had HIV-1 RNA ≥200 c/mL and were in the resistance analysis population with no resistance development
- 4 had HIV-1 RNA <200 c/mL and did not qualify for post-baseline testing
- c. 2 participants had HIV-1 RNA ≥50 c/mL at Week 48 and resuppressed to <50 c/mL
- d. 2 participants had HIV-1 RNA ≥50 c/mL at last visit before September 15, 2018 and resuppressed to <50 c/mL

#### Table 3. Resistance Development through Current Analysis

#### Table 7. Virologic Outcomes by Baseline Resistance

Resistance Category	Proportion of Participants with HIV-1 RNA <50 c/mL, % (n/N)	P Value <sup>a</sup>
All participants	98% (561/570)	
No Primary Resistance Any Primary resistance	99% (282/286) 97% (155/189)	0.5
NRTI-R No NRTI-R	96% (67/70) 99% (370/375)	0.1
M184V/I No M184V/I	95% (42/44) 99% (395/401)	0.2
Any TAM No TAM	95% (35/37) 99% (402/408)	0.1
NNRTI-R No NNRTI-R	99% (91/92) 98% (346/353)	1.0
Rilpivirine-associated No Rilpivirine-associated	98% (49/50) 98% ( 388/395)	1.0
PI-R No PI-R	100% ( 37/37) 98% (400/408)	1.0
Primary INSTI-R No Primary INSTI-R	100% ( 6/6) 98% (301/308)	1.0
Secondary INSTI-R No Secondary INSTI-R	98% (157/181) 98% (150/153)	1.0
a. P value determined by Fisher's exact t	est	

- Proviral DNA genotyping (archive) assays can detect previously undocumented drug resistance in suppressed patients but are insensitive<sup>12-14</sup>
- Here, we present resistance analyses and virologic outcomes after >2 years of B/F/TAF treatment in studies 1878 and 1844

# Methods

# Figure 1. Overview of B/F/TAF Switch Studies



3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ATV = atazanavir; bPI = boosted protease inhibitor; c/mL = copies per mililiter; COBI = cobicistat; DRV = darunavir; DTG = dolutegravir; FTC = emtricitabine; NRTI = nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; RTV = ritonavir; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

#### **Resistance Assessments at Enrollment**

- Historical plasma HIV-1 RNA genotypes were collected but not required for study entry. Documented or suspected resistance to study drugs was excluded if identified prior to randomization
- Previous virologic failure or regimen changes for reasons other than simplification/ modernization/toxicity also was excluded
- Whole blood was collected at baseline for potential proviral DNA archive genotyping

#### **Baseline Genotypic Analyses**

 HIV-1 proviral DNA genotyping (GenoSure Archive, Monogram Biosciences) was conducted after enrollment from baseline samples - All B/F/TAF-treated participants from study 1878 and B/F/TAF-treated participants with longest antiretroviral therapy (ART) histories (pre-2003 or unknown ARV initiation date) from study 1844

	Proportion of Participants, % (n)		
	Pooled B/F/TAF, n=570		
Resistance Analysis Population (RAP) <sup>a</sup>	0.9% (5)		
Developed Resistance 0			
a. Includes all participants analyzed for emergent resistance from baseline through September 15, 2018			

 High levels of suppression were maintained through Week 48 and current analysis; no treatment-emergent resistance to B/F/TAF has been detected to date

## **Table 4. Baseline Genotypic Data Sources**

	Proportion of Participants, % (n)		
Pooled B/F/TAF, n=570		/TAF, n=570	
	HIV-1 PR/RT Data	HIV-1 IN Data	
aseline Data Available	78% (445)	55% (314)	
Historical Genotype	49% (280)	4.0% (23)	
Baseline Proviral Genotype	52% (298)	52% (298)	
Both Historical and Proviral Genotype	23% (133)	1.2% (7)	

#### Table 5. Pre-existing NRTI, NNRTI and PI Resistance Substitutions at Baseline

	Propo	% (n)	
	Genotyp	e Source	Total with Any
Posistanco Class	Historical	Proviral DNA	Baseline Data n=445
	3 2% (0)	22% (67)	16% (70)
	3.270 (9)	2278 (07)	1078 (70)
K65R/N	0 <sup>a</sup>	2.0% (6)	1.3% (6)
M184V/I	0 <sup>a</sup>	15% (44)	10% (44)
Any TAM	3.2% (9)	11% (34)	8.3% (37) <sup>b</sup>
Other	0	1.7% (5)	1.1% (5)°
NNRTI-R	15% (42)	24% (72)	21% (92)
Rilpivirine-associated <sup>d</sup>	6.1% (17)	14% (41)	11% (50)
K103N/S	10% (29)	13% (39)	12%( 53)
PI-R	4.3% (12)	9.4% (28)	8.3% (37)

 Long-term B/F/TAF efficacy was not affected by pre-existing primary PR, RT, and/or IN resistance at baseline

#### Table 8. Baseline Characteristics Stratified by M184V/I Detection

	Any Baseline Genotype, n=445			
	M184V/I n=44	Wild-type M184ª n=401	P value <sup>b</sup>	
Mean age, years (range)	51 (29-65)	45 (20-74)	<0.001	
Male, % (n)	82% (36)	87% (348)	0.4	
Mean CD4 count, cells/µL (range)	645 (217-1415)	716 (124–2582)	0.1	
Mean time since ART initiation, years (range)	15 (3-29)	8 (0.3-29)	<0.001	
Mean time on prior regimen, years (range)	7 (0.8–20)	4 (0.3–20)	<0.001	
Baseline ARV regimen, % (n)				
DTG/ABC/3TC	5% (2)	42% (167)	<0.001	
Boosted PI + 2 NRTIs	95% (42)	58% (234)	<b>\U.UUT</b>	

a. Wild-type M184 by historical and/or proviral baseline genotype

b. P values were calculated by Student's t-test (2-tailed) for mean data and Fisher's Exact test for percentage data

 Preexisting M184V/I was associated with greater age, longer time since ART initiation, longer time on prior regimen, and current suppression on a regimen of b/PI + 2 NRTIs

#### Table 9. Association of M184V/I with Other Primary **Resistance Substitutions**

Duration of B/F/TAF treatment for participants with M184V/I was median 111 weeks (IQR 97-119 weeks)

**Proportion of Participants**, % (n)

	Participants with Baseline M184V/I, n=44	HIV-1 RNA <50 c/mL at Last Visit
M184V/I alone	27% (12/44)	92% (11/12)
M184V/I + ≥1 primary resistance substitution	73% (32/44)	97% (31/32)
M184V/I + NNRTI-R	50% (22/44)	100% (22/22)
M184V/I + other NRTI-R	41% (18/44)	94% (17/18)
M184V/I + TAMs	34% (15/44)	93% (14/15)
M184V/I + PI-R	11% (5/44)	100% (5/5)
M184V/I + primary INSTI-R	0	_

- Proviral assay features
- Deep sequencing-based genotyping of integrated HIV-1 proviral DNA for detection of archived drug resistance in patients with inadequate viral loads for routine plasma RNA genotyping
- Proviral assay limitations
- Cellular APOBEC-mediated hypermutation may introduce STOP codons and some substitutions associated with drug resistance (E138K, M184I, and M230I in reverse transcriptase; G163R in integrase). Utilization of bioinformatics filters to remove hypermutated deep sequence reads mitigates over-reporting of these substitutions
- Lack of sensitivity to detect resistance previously reported by plasma HIV-1 RNA genotyping; for example, only 43% of previously documented M184V/I was detected by the Archive assay in one recent study<sup>12</sup>
- Baseline HIV-1 genotypes comprised cumulative data from all historical and proviral genotypes

#### **Post-baseline Resistance Analyses**

- Resistance analysis population (RAP)
- Confirmed virologic failure on study drug (two consecutive visits with HIV-1 RNA  $\geq$  50 c/mL) and HIV-1 RNA  $\geq$  200 c/mL at the confirmation
- HIV-1 RNA  $\geq$  200 c/mL at Week 48 or last visit on study drug
- Plasma HIV-1 RNA genotype and phenotype (PhenoSenseGT, GeneSeq IN, and PhenoSense IN, Monogram Biosciences)

# Table 1. HIV-1 Drug Resistance Substitutions

Coding region	Resistance Category	Amino Acid Substitutions (based on IAS-USA <sup>15</sup> )	
RT	Primary NNRTI-R	L100I, K101E/P, K103N/S, V106A/M, V108I, E138A/G/K/Q/R, V179L, Y181C/I/V, Y188C/H/L, G190A/E/Q/S, H221Y, P225H, F227C, M230I/L	
	Primary NRTI-R	K65R/E/N, T69 insertions, K70E, L74V/I, Y115F, Q151M, M184V/I TAMs: M41L, D67N, K70R, L210W, T215F/Y, K219E/N/Q/R	

#### a. K65N/R and M184V/I by historical genotype would have led to study exclusion

- b. TAMs were M41L (n=16), D67N (n=10), K70R (n=17), L210W (n=5), T215F/Y (n=12), and K219E/N/R/Q (n=12)
- c. Other NRTI-R substitutions were L74V (n=2), Y115F (n=3), and Q151M (n=2)
- d. Rilpivirine-associated resistance defined as having ≥1 of the following substitutions: L100I, K101E/P, V106A, V108I, E138A/G/K/Q/R, V179L, Y181C/I/V Y188L, G190E, H221Y, F227C, or M230I/L

#### Table 6. Pre-existing INSTI Resistance Substitutions at **Baseline**

	Proportion of Participants, % (n)			
	Genotype Source		Total	
	Historical	Proviral DNA	n=314	
Resistance Class	n=23	n=298		
Primary INSTI-R	4.3% (1)	2.0% (6)	1.9% (6)	
E92G	0	0.3% (1)	0.3% (1)	
T97A	1.3% (1)	1.3% (4)	1.3% (4)	
S147G	0	0.3% (1)	0.3% (1)	
Secondary INSTI-R	57% (13)	50% (149)	51% (161)	

a. All INSTI-R substitutions, including the 6 primary INSTI-R substitutions, have predicted sensitivity to bictegravir.

#### Figure 2. Virologic Outcomes Stratified by Pre-existing **Resistance**



 M184V/I was frequently detected with other primary resistance substitutions, but was the only resistance detected in 27% of cases

#### Figure 3. Two Participants with Archived M184V/I and HIV-1 **RNA ≥50 c/mL**



BIC = bictegravir; BLQ = below limit of quantification (BLQ for BIC indicates missing ≥8 consecutive doses); Ctau = concentration at end of dosing interval; IQR = interquartile range (1951 – 3088 ng/mL for BIC Ctau; N = 1193 HIV-1-infected B/F/TAF-treated participants from 4 Phase 3 studies1,16); LLOQ = lower limit of quantification (20 ng/mL for BIC Ctau)

# Conclusions

	PR	Primary PI-R	D30N, V32I, M46I/L, I47A/V, G48V, I50L/V, I54M/L, Q58E, T74P, L76V, V82A/F/L/S/T, N83D, I84V, N88S, L90M	
		Primary INSTI-R	T66I/A/K, E92Q/G, T97A, F121Y, Y143R/H/C, S147G, Q148H/K/R, N155H/S, R263K	
	IN	Secondary INSTI-R	M50I, H51Y, L68I/V, V72A/N/T, L74M, Q95K/R, G118R, S119P/ R/T, F121C, A128T, E138A/K, G140A/C/S, P145S, Q146I/K/L/ P/R, V151A/L, S153A/F/Y, E157K/Q, G163K/R, E170A	
	IN = integrase; INSTI = IN strand transfer inhibitor; NRTI = nucleos(t)ide RT inhibitor; NNRTI = nonnucleoside RT inhibitor; -R = resistance; RT = reverse transcriptase; PI = PR inhibitor; PR = protease; TAMs = thymidine analog-associated mutations			
Eff	icacy Ana	alysis		
Participants included in analysis switched to B/F/TAF on study Day 1 and had ≥1 on-treatment post-baseline HIV-1 RNA measurement				:1
	Dutcomes September	were determined r 15, 2018	by last available on-treatment HIV-1 RNA through	
		nanta with naat ha	so line data including these with sorthy discontinuation	

- All participants with post-baseline data, including those with early discontinuation, had virologic outcomes determined
- Virologic success (HIV-1 RNA <50 c/mL) or virologic failure (HIV-1 RNA ≥50 c/mL)</p>
- Statistical comparisons were performed using Fishers' Exact test or Student's t-test as appropriate

• Virologically suppressed participants switched to B/F/TAF maintained high rates of viral suppression (98% HIV-1 RNA <50 c/mL) in long term follow-up with no treatment emergent resistance observed

## Proviral DNA genotyping detected previously undocumented M184V/I in 10% of participants (n=44)

- Participants with M184V/I were older, had longer ART durations (mean 15 years, but lowest 3 years), and more frequently switched from boosted-PI regimens
- M184V/I was often linked with other resistance substitutions: 73% had M184V/I with another primary resistance substitution
- In participants with pre-existing drug resistance, B/F/TAF maintained high rates of virologic suppression
- 98% (155/159) of participants with any pre-existing primary NRTI, NNRTI, PI, or INSTI resistance
- 95% (42/44) of participants with archived M184V/I
- A triple therapy regimen of B/F/TAF may be an effective treatment option for suppressed patients with certain pre-existing resistance including, but not limited to, M184V/I

#### **References & Acknowledgements**

<ul> <li>BIKTARVY® (bictegravir, emtricitabine, and tenofovir lafenamide) Tablets for Oral Use Prescribing Information. Gilead Sciences, Initial US Approval 2018</li> <li>Biktarvy: EPAR – Product Information. European Medicines Association, 2018.</li> <li>Gallant J, et al., The Lancet (2017) 390(10107): 2063-2072.</li> <li>Sax PE, et al., The Lancet (2017) 390(10107): 2073-2082.</li> <li>Sax PE, et al., The Lancet (2017) 390(10107): 2073-2082.</li> <li>Wohl D, et al., ID Week (2018) Presentation #74246.</li> <li>Stellbrink HJ, et al., HIV Glasgow (2018) Presentation #4185960.</li> <li>Daar ES, et al., The Lancet HIV (2018) 5(7): e347-e356.</li> <li>Molina JM, et al., The Lancet HIV (2018) 5(7): e357-e365.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>9. Kityo C, et al., CROI (2018) Presentation #500.</li> <li>10. Sax PE, et al., IAS (2019) Abstract submitted.</li> <li>11. Gaur AH, et al., CROI (2019) Presentation #2571</li> <li>12. Perez-Valero I, et al., IAC (2018) Presentation #TUAB0104.</li> <li>13. Andreatta K, et al., HIV Glasgow (2018) Presentation #P298.</li> <li>14. Thielen A, European Meeting on HIV &amp; Hepatitis (2018)</li> </ol>	Presentation #5. 15. Wensing AM, et al., Top Antivir Med (2017) 24:4. 16. Custodio JM et al., CROI (2018) Presentation #34.
---	---	---

We extend our thanks to the participants and their families, study investigators and staff. These studies were funded by Gilead Sciences, Inc.