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Quality of Life and HIV Care

The interrelationship between quality of life, stigma and treatment adherence has been well established. (3-7)

- PLHIV with poor mental health and/or experiencing stigma are less likely to adhere to treatment [3-6].
- Improving health outcomes through viral suppression does not by itself ensure improved quality of life among people living with HIV [6].

Some points from HIV Futures 8

Overall, most PLHIV reported good levels of physical health and general health overall.

However this masks the diversity

- Varied considerably by age and other demographics
- Considerable prevalence of issues concerning mental health, isolation, co-morbidities, and impact of stigma and discrimination

Some barriers with current scales

- Generic scales to not capture the unique social and stigma related elements key to HIV care
- Most current scales for PLHIV are large, restricted in their use, expensive, or developed prior to the current treatment era
- The more comprehensive scales are long, take a substantial amount of time to complete and not practical for most day to day service use. (5-7)
- HIV community, support, and healthcare services in Australia expressed a need for an empirically validated, short and practical way to measure quality of life of PLHIV accessing their programs.
How did we develop and validate PozQoL?

PozQoL study embedded in the GIPA/MIPA principles of a direct partnership with peer-led organisations representing people living with HIV.

- Involved in the conceptualisation and prioritisation of the domains, development of items, and decisions concerning the refinement of the final scale.
- The insights from consultations with PLHIV and other stakeholders supported the balancing of statistical rigour, conceptual accuracy, and practical use.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Key tasks</th>
<th>Progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Stage 1 | Development of the draft instrument 4 months | • Systematic review of literature, current scales  
• Identify domains  
• Generate a draft scale | Completed |
| Stage 2 | Pre-testing and Validation of scale 8 Months | • Pre-test with key sector partners  
• Recruit 465 PLHIV to complete instrument alongside other longer scales  
• Data analysis to test validity of the instrument and identify the fewest and most effective items (13 items across 4 domains) | Completed |
| Stage 3 | Implementation Trial of the PozQoL Scale 12 months | • Usability and acceptability trial  
• Sensitivity trial | January to December 2018 |
How did we develop and validate PozQoL?

- Online survey with \( N = 465 \) adult PLHIV in Australia
- The survey included the pilot PozQoL scale (64 items) and other validated measures of health and wellbeing.
  - SF-36: RAND Short Form 36 1.0
  - FAHI: Functional Assessment of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection
  - Psychological Distress: K6 Scale
  - Wellbeing: The Short Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale
  - Satisfaction with life: The Satisfaction with Life Scale
  - Resilience: The Brief Resilience Scale
  - Stigma: Internalised AIDS-Related Stigma Scale
  - Social Support: Interpersonal Support Evaluation List, ISEL-12 version

- Thirteen items were selected
  - based on Exploratory Factor Analysis and conceptual considerations.
  - then subjected to Confirmatory Factor Analysis with excellent levels of fit
    - (model fit: \( \chi^2_{(61)} = 74.42, p = .116; \text{CFI} = .992, \text{SRMR} = .036, \text{RMSEA} = .034 [.000, .059]) \)
# What is the PozQoL Scale

## 13 items across 4 domains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Health concerns** | I worry about my health  
I worry about the impact of HIV on my health  
I fear the health effects of HIV as I get older |
| **Psychological**   | I am enjoying life  
I feel in control of my life  
I am optimistic about my future  
I feel good about myself as a person |
| **Social**          | I feel that HIV limits my personal relationships  
I lack a sense of belonging with people around me  
I am afraid that people might reject me when they learn I have HIV |
| **Functional**      | I feel that HIV prevents me from doing as much as I would like  
Having HIV limits my opportunities in life  
Managing HIV wears me out |

## CONVERGENT VALIDITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SF-36 PCS</th>
<th>SF-36 MCS</th>
<th>FAHI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PozQoL (total score)</td>
<td>.68</td>
<td>.77</td>
<td>.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health concerns</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional</td>
<td>.66</td>
<td>.69</td>
<td>.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WOW!
### Implementation Trial of PozQoL

- PozQoL developed a short, reliable, and validated scale measuring HRQoL among PLHIV.
- The next step is an implementation trial
  - To assess the usability, acceptability, and usefulness of the PozQoL scale in the day to day work of different health and community services
  - To assess how sensitive the PozQoL scale is in measuring changes in health-related quality of life as a result of interventions or services
- An implementation trial is an important step in building the evidence for the practical use of the PozQoL scale.
Implementation Trial of PozQoL

• Plan to trial across five types of service/programs
  - PLHIV peer workshops
  - One-to-one counselling or peer support services
  - General practice or specialist clinics
  - Online intervention
  - Informal online peer network

Treatment Related Items

Best 11 so far....

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment and QoL</th>
<th>Satisfaction with Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T7_11 Thanks to my treatment I feel that I have everything under control</td>
<td>T7_5 My treatment improves my life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7_2 Having to take medication makes HIV too present in my life</td>
<td>T7_6 My treatment makes me feel healthier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7_9 My treatment reminds me that I am sick</td>
<td>T7_7 I am unhappy with the side effects of my treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7_3 I worry about the impact of treatment on my health as I get older</td>
<td>T7_12 I find my treatment convenient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7_10 I fear the side effects of treatment as I get older</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In closing...

- Indicators of health related QoL may be useful as both an input to, and an outcome of, optimising care.
  - Input – such as guide care plans as well as referral to peer and other community/psyc etc services
  - Outcome – to monitor how the adaptations we are all making across our services are working across different groups.
- Incorporating a meaningful quality of life perspective into guidelines for optimising care will be essential to achieving sustained outcomes for PLHIV in a rapidly changing context.
- Implementation trial of PozQoL scale will show if we have developed something short enough and meaningful enough to support this.
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